

State and Local Government Finances A 50 State Profile FY 2005



Commission on Local Government
Department of Housing and Community Development
Commonwealth of Virginia
<http://www.dhcd.virginia.gov>

**July 2007
Updated 12/2007**

***Members of the
Commission on Local Government (CLG)***

Chairman

Kathleen K. Seefeldt

Vice Chairman

Frances M. Parsons

Harold H. Bannister, Jr.

John G. Kines, Jr.

Vola T. Lawson

Director, Department of Housing and Community Development

William C. Shelton

Local Government Policy Manager

Susan B. Williams

CLG Staff for This Report

Stephen Ziony, Principal Economist

This report is available on the DHCD website at www.dhcd.virginia.gov.
From there, select Commission on Local Government→Products→Data.

The Jackson Center
501 North Second Street
Richmond VA 23219-3513
(804) 786-6508

Public Finance: Virginia in the National Context, FY 2005

This review of state and local government finance data represents a continuation of statistical work that was initiated by the U.S. Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations, which operated under the auspices of Congress from 1959 through the early autumn of 1996. When the ACIR was de-funded in the latter year, the American Council on Intergovernmental Relations, a non-profit entity, assumed responsibility for its data production and reporting functions, but the Council's statistical survey of revenues and expenditures at the sub-federal level was abruptly terminated after the appearance of only two annual publications. At that point, the staff of the Commission on Local Government absorbed the fiscal research activities of the Council. The intervention of the CLG stemmed from the agency's desire to place its intra-state analyses of fiscal stress among Virginia's communities within the ambit of national data on public finance at the state and local levels.

Since the late 1990's, the CLG has issued five reports that show, by fiscal dimension and level of government, own-source general revenue and direct general expenditures (a) on a per capita basis, (b) as a percentage of personal income, and (c) in relative impact terms for the nation at large. The most recent statistical survey rests upon six spreadsheets that display 204 computed and rank score variables linked to three funding dimensions and seven outlay categories. The baseline data have been derived from two master files of the U.S. Census Bureau that cover the government finance characteristics of the 50 states and their respective localities during FY 2005.

From the perspective of the U.S. Census Bureau, own-source general receipts encompass collections from taxes, current charges, and miscellaneous funding bases (e.g., property sales, special assessments, interest-bearing deposits, and land use fees). The scope of these revenues does not extend, however, to any amounts generated by intergovernmental transfer payments or by liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds falling within the proprietary control of a given state or locality. Direct general expenditures reflect all operating and capital outlays except intergovernmental transfer payments and direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds. As treated by the U.S. Census Bureau, the expenditure dimension embraces the categories of governmental administration, educational services, social services and income maintenance, transportation, public safety, environment and housing, and miscellaneous functions. The latter component of the classification framework captures "unallocable outlays" pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

As documented by Table 1D of the current report, total state and local revenue per capita amounted to \$5,435.48 in Virginia across FY 2005. During

that year the median, or midpoint, statistic for the 50 states was \$5,145.58.¹ The per capita amount registered by the Commonwealth, then, exceeded the central-tendency value relative to the nation as a whole by 5.6%. Table 1D also discloses that Virginia ranked 18th in aggregate state and local revenue gauged on a per capita basis. The Commonwealth, that is, fell within the upper half of the national distribution. A markedly different comparative profile, though, can be found in Table 2D. Over the course of FY 2005, as that exhibit reveals, the cumulative revenue of Virginia's state and local governments represented 15.23% of personal income throughout the Commonwealth, but the national median statistic was 16.48% for aggregate tax and non-tax collections relative to income. Accordingly, the revenue effort level of Virginia trailed the central-tendency score for the 50 states by 7.6%. The Commonwealth, moreover, ranked only 39th in the national data series, slightly above the group of states defining the lowest 20% of the overall distribution.

With respect to state and local expenditures per capita (see Table 4H), Virginia registered a total of \$6,008.18 during FY 2005. The median value across the 50 states, however, reached \$6,218.14. Consequently, the spending burden of the Commonwealth lagged behind the midpoint statistic for the nation at large by 3.4%, and Virginia's ranking among the 50 states was only 32d. As Table 5H indicates, the outlay profile of the Commonwealth displayed even greater weakness in relation to personal income. Over the most recent measurement period, state and local government disbursements, in the aggregate, constituted 16.83% of income within Virginia. Yet the national median statistic reached 20.26% during FY 2005. The Virginia percentage, then, understated the midpoint value for the U.S. as a whole by 16.9%. Not surprisingly, the Commonwealth

¹A central-tendency measure (for example, the mean or the median) is frequently used by public finance analysts to identify the value that "typifies" a statistical series. In relation to a numerically scaled variable, the mean (or average) represents the sum of the scores for all cases (states in the present instance) divided by the total number of cases. The median denotes the midpoint of the data distribution when its constituent values are hierarchically ordered and, accordingly, partitions the case scores into two groups of equal size. Although the mean is a more familiar statistical tool than the median, the latter measure may be analytically preferable with respect to an ordered data series containing a relatively small number of extreme scores in one direction or the other. In this regard the Commission notes that the median exhibits less sensitivity than the mean to the statistical pulling effect of such "outliers." See Hubert M. Blalock, Jr., **Social Statistics**, rev. 2d ed. (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1979), pp. 66-68; Chava Frankfort-Nachmias and David Nachmias, **Research Methods in the Social Sciences**, 6th ed. (New York: Worth Publishers, 2000), pp. 332-33; and Marija J. Norusis, **SPSS 13.0 Guide to Data Analysis** (Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Prentice Hall, 2005), pp. 83-84.

ranked only 46th on the 50-state scale in terms of the ratio of public-sector spending to personal income.

**Own-Source General Revenue Per Capita
by
Funding Dimension
and
Level of Government
FY 2005**

Tables 1A-1D

Table 1A: Own-Source General Revenue Per Capita by Funding Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005--A 50 State Profile/1

State	Taxes					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/2	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/2	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/2
Alabama	\$1,726.80	44.0	\$860.47	43.0	\$2,587.26	50.0
Alaska	\$2,828.48	7.0	\$1,657.11	11.0	\$4,485.59	5.0
Arizona	\$1,915.84	39.0	\$1,274.40	30.0	\$3,190.24	33.0
Arkansas	\$2,385.31	17.0	\$546.59	49.0	\$2,931.90	44.0
California	\$2,746.43	9.0	\$1,344.33	24.0	\$4,090.76	12.0
Colorado	\$1,663.07	46.0	\$1,746.55	8.0	\$3,409.62	27.0
Connecticut	\$3,315.61	4.0	\$2,092.75	3.0	\$5,408.36	2.0
Delaware	\$3,124.51	5.0	\$828.91	45.0	\$3,953.42	14.0
Florida	\$1,951.69	34.0	\$1,495.30	19.0	\$3,446.99	24.0
Georgia	\$1,754.41	42.0	\$1,321.82	26.0	\$3,076.23	36.0
Hawaii	\$3,522.13	2.0	\$865.28	42.0	\$4,387.41	7.0
Idaho	\$2,103.55	28.0	\$894.69	41.0	\$2,998.24	41.0
Illinois	\$2,077.37	30.0	\$1,787.54	6.0	\$3,864.91	17.0
Indiana	\$2,065.56	31.0	\$1,363.19	22.0	\$3,428.74	25.0
Iowa	\$1,946.73	36.0	\$1,338.60	25.0	\$3,285.33	30.0
Kansas	\$2,044.81	32.0	\$1,383.05	21.0	\$3,427.87	26.0
Kentucky	\$2,195.87	24.0	\$765.93	46.0	\$2,961.79	42.0
Louisiana	\$1,921.41	38.0	\$1,259.64	31.0	\$3,181.05	34.0
Maine	\$2,337.26	20.0	\$1,635.12	12.0	\$3,972.38	13.0
Maryland	\$2,430.63	14.0	\$1,873.18	4.0	\$4,303.81	8.0
Massachusetts	\$2,799.05	8.0	\$1,669.09	10.0	\$4,468.14	6.0
Michigan	\$2,330.84	21.0	\$1,166.15	32.0	\$3,496.99	23.0
Minnesota	\$3,117.62	6.0	\$996.37	38.0	\$4,113.98	11.0
Mississippi	\$1,877.69	40.0	\$711.56	47.0	\$2,589.24	49.0
Missouri	\$1,658.93	47.0	\$1,361.11	23.0	\$3,020.04	39.0
Montana	\$2,025.43	33.0	\$914.86	39.0	\$2,940.28	43.0
Nebraska	\$2,173.18	26.0	\$1,596.84	14.0	\$3,770.03	18.0
Nevada	\$2,431.46	13.0	\$1,446.57	20.0	\$3,878.03	16.0
New Hampshire	\$1,557.89	48.0	\$1,770.13	7.0	\$3,328.03	29.0
New Jersey	\$2,643.38	10.0	\$2,261.80	2.0	\$4,905.18	4.0
New Mexico	\$2,352.17	19.0	\$840.53	44.0	\$3,192.70	32.0
New York	\$2,601.62	11.0	\$3,157.64	1.0	\$5,759.26	1.0
North Carolina	\$2,184.93	25.0	\$1,016.00	37.0	\$3,200.93	31.0
North Dakota	\$2,206.44	22.0	\$1,129.08	33.0	\$3,335.52	28.0
Ohio	\$2,094.63	29.0	\$1,545.08	16.0	\$3,639.71	22.0
Oklahoma	\$1,946.93	35.0	\$912.31	40.0	\$2,859.24	45.0
Oregon	\$1,817.40	41.0	\$1,277.33	29.0	\$3,094.73	35.0
Pennsylvania	\$2,202.71	23.0	\$1,515.41	17.0	\$3,718.13	19.0
Rhode Island	\$2,436.28	12.0	\$1,733.90	9.0	\$4,170.18	9.0
South Carolina	\$1,744.55	43.0	\$1,068.47	35.0	\$2,813.03	46.0
South Dakota	\$1,441.60	50.0	\$1,290.63	28.0	\$2,732.23	47.0
Tennessee	\$1,700.19	45.0	\$1,016.96	36.0	\$2,717.15	48.0
Texas	\$1,455.94	49.0	\$1,614.22	13.0	\$3,070.16	37.0
Utah	\$1,934.92	37.0	\$1,080.75	34.0	\$3,015.67	40.0
Vermont	\$3,611.76	1.0	\$534.39	50.0	\$4,146.15	10.0
Virginia	\$2,130.47	27.0	\$1,571.24	15.0	\$3,701.71	21.0
Washington	\$2,391.18	16.0	\$1,310.73	27.0	\$3,701.91	20.0
West Virginia	\$2,375.02	18.0	\$690.00	48.0	\$3,065.02	38.0
Wisconsin	\$2,391.75	15.0	\$1,500.50	18.0	\$3,892.26	15.0
Wyoming	\$3,438.04	3.0	\$1,842.31	5.0	\$5,280.34	3.0
Mean	\$2,262.63		\$1,337.53		\$3,600.16	
Median	\$2,179.06		\$1,330.21		\$3,428.31	

1

Own-source general receipts encompass collections from taxes, current charges, and miscellaneous funding bases (e.g., property sales, special assessments, interest-bearing deposits, and land use fees). The scope of these revenues, however, does not extend to any amounts generated by intergovernmental transfer payments or by liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds falling within the proprietary control of a given state or locality.

2

With regard to the state-by-state series of per capita values, the largest amount is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest amount the highest rank score. In the event of tied per capita values, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

3

In relation to a particular state, the per capita amount covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the revenue data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the per capita amounts displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 population estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2006" (electronic dataset), December 22, 2006.

Table 1B: Own-Source General Revenue Per Capita by Funding Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005--A 50 State Profile/1

State	Current Charges					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/2	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/2	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/2
Alabama	\$608.81	12.0	\$829.67	5.0	\$1,438.47	3.0
Alaska	\$730.29	4.0	\$634.64	19.0	\$1,364.93	4.0
Arizona	\$223.48	50.0	\$496.33	28.0	\$719.82	47.0
Arkansas	\$576.26	13.0	\$351.95	41.0	\$928.21	35.0
California	\$333.61	42.0	\$962.25	2.0	\$1,295.86	7.0
Colorado	\$421.98	31.0	\$807.55	6.0	\$1,229.53	11.0
Connecticut	\$423.55	30.0	\$247.61	48.0	\$671.16	50.0
Delaware	\$881.86	1.0	\$373.85	38.0	\$1,255.71	10.0
Florida	\$232.19	49.0	\$838.08	3.0	\$1,070.27	20.0
Georgia	\$279.71	45.0	\$644.44	18.0	\$924.15	36.0
Hawaii	\$815.49	3.0	\$249.05	47.0	\$1,064.54	21.0
Idaho	\$358.80	38.0	\$768.79	9.0	\$1,127.59	15.0
Illinois	\$273.43	46.0	\$477.88	30.0	\$751.31	46.0
Indiana	\$449.32	29.0	\$653.68	16.0	\$1,103.00	18.0
Iowa	\$633.69	9.0	\$708.74	14.0	\$1,342.42	5.0
Kansas	\$376.66	36.0	\$575.52	21.0	\$952.19	32.0
Kentucky	\$483.99	24.0	\$320.22	45.0	\$804.21	42.0
Louisiana	\$564.26	14.0	\$539.60	24.0	\$1,103.86	17.0
Maine	\$459.92	26.0	\$325.22	44.0	\$785.14	43.0
Maryland	\$450.81	28.0	\$424.42	35.0	\$875.24	39.0
Massachusetts	\$476.55	25.0	\$364.47	39.0	\$841.02	41.0
Michigan	\$539.40	15.0	\$583.84	20.0	\$1,123.25	16.0
Minnesota	\$400.40	35.0	\$758.53	11.0	\$1,158.92	13.0
Mississippi	\$417.60	33.0	\$766.48	10.0	\$1,184.09	12.0
Missouri	\$359.52	37.0	\$527.70	25.0	\$887.21	38.0
Montana	\$521.81	17.0	\$445.39	34.0	\$967.20	29.0
Nebraska	\$401.67	34.0	\$551.05	23.0	\$952.72	31.0
Nevada	\$261.25	47.0	\$796.67	7.0	\$1,057.92	23.0
New Hampshire	\$498.72	21.0	\$278.14	46.0	\$776.86	44.0
New Jersey	\$531.22	16.0	\$416.49	36.0	\$947.71	34.0
New Mexico	\$420.96	32.0	\$352.78	40.0	\$773.74	45.0
New York	\$350.79	40.0	\$711.00	13.0	\$1,061.79	22.0
North Carolina	\$356.27	39.0	\$736.35	12.0	\$1,092.62	19.0
North Dakota	\$695.47	6.0	\$347.47	42.0	\$1,042.94	24.0
Ohio	\$504.20	18.0	\$525.49	26.0	\$1,029.69	25.0
Oklahoma	\$485.52	23.0	\$498.25	27.0	\$983.77	27.0
Oregon	\$631.73	10.0	\$646.41	17.0	\$1,278.13	9.0
Pennsylvania	\$498.39	22.0	\$450.16	33.0	\$948.55	33.0
Rhode Island	\$451.23	27.0	\$236.89	49.0	\$688.12	49.0
South Carolina	\$710.45	5.0	\$835.61	4.0	\$1,546.06	2.0
South Dakota	\$302.76	43.0	\$388.95	37.0	\$691.71	48.0
Tennessee	\$286.13	44.0	\$695.67	15.0	\$981.80	28.0
Texas	\$350.25	41.0	\$560.76	22.0	\$911.02	37.0
Utah	\$849.04	2.0	\$471.38	31.0	\$1,320.42	6.0
Vermont	\$649.94	8.0	\$223.11	50.0	\$873.05	40.0
Virginia	\$671.61	7.0	\$458.47	32.0	\$1,130.08	14.0
Washington	\$502.16	19.0	\$791.98	8.0	\$1,294.14	8.0
West Virginia	\$616.15	11.0	\$341.34	43.0	\$957.49	30.0
Wisconsin	\$499.21	20.0	\$487.27	29.0	\$986.48	26.0
Wyoming	\$261.17	48.0	\$1,647.48	1.0	\$1,908.65	1.0
Mean	\$481.59		\$562.50		\$1,044.10	
Median	\$468.24		\$526.60		\$1,008.09	

1

Own-source general receipts encompass collections from taxes, current charges, and miscellaneous funding bases (e.g., property sales, special assessments, interest-bearing deposits, and land use fees). The scope of these revenues, however, does not extend to any amounts generated by intergovernmental transfer payments or by liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds falling within the proprietary control of a given state or locality.

2

With regard to the state-by-state series of per capita values, the largest amount is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest amount the highest rank score. In the event of tied per capita values, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

3

In relation to a particular state, the per capita amount covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the revenue data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the per capita amounts displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 population estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2006" (electronic dataset), December 22, 2006.

Table 1C: Own-Source General Revenue Per Capita by Funding Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005--A 50 State Profile/1

State	Miscellaneous Sources					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/2	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/2	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/2
Alabama	\$252.52	45.0	\$185.28	29.0	\$437.80	46.0
Alaska	\$4,909.05	1.0	\$308.20	9.0	\$5,217.25	1.0
Arizona	\$284.18	40.0	\$250.20	14.0	\$534.38	34.0
Arkansas	\$219.16	48.0	\$178.20	33.0	\$397.36	48.0
California	\$251.40	46.0	\$375.36	4.0	\$626.77	20.0
Colorado	\$378.03	22.0	\$350.56	6.0	\$728.60	10.0
Connecticut	\$442.29	16.0	\$109.60	46.0	\$551.89	32.0
Delaware	\$1,195.45	2.0	\$180.02	32.0	\$1,375.47	2.0
Florida	\$338.00	27.0	\$382.36	2.0	\$720.36	12.0
Georgia	\$225.77	47.0	\$206.59	26.0	\$432.35	47.0
Hawaii	\$490.35	11.0	\$86.26	50.0	\$576.61	28.0
Idaho	\$354.61	24.0	\$123.56	44.0	\$478.17	43.0
Illinois	\$308.66	32.0	\$215.98	23.0	\$524.65	37.0
Indiana	\$299.81	35.0	\$297.36	11.0	\$597.17	25.0
Iowa	\$286.35	39.0	\$177.86	34.0	\$464.21	45.0
Kansas	\$252.73	44.0	\$304.35	10.0	\$557.08	31.0
Kentucky	\$295.19	37.0	\$217.90	22.0	\$513.09	38.0
Louisiana	\$423.50	17.0	\$191.37	27.0	\$614.87	21.0
Maine	\$618.82	8.0	\$108.44	47.0	\$727.26	11.0
Maryland	\$354.27	25.0	\$181.26	31.0	\$535.53	33.0
Massachusetts	\$777.66	5.0	\$117.29	45.0	\$894.95	5.0
Michigan	\$396.08	20.0	\$214.03	24.0	\$610.11	22.0
Minnesota	\$254.07	43.0	\$378.86	3.0	\$632.92	19.0
Mississippi	\$140.88	50.0	\$162.13	40.0	\$303.01	50.0
Missouri	\$331.74	28.0	\$170.45	37.0	\$502.20	40.0
Montana	\$484.41	13.0	\$235.73	18.0	\$720.14	13.0
Nebraska	\$450.00	15.0	\$319.10	7.0	\$769.11	8.0
Nevada	\$254.52	42.0	\$441.66	1.0	\$696.18	14.0
New Hampshire	\$487.58	12.0	\$98.38	48.0	\$585.96	26.0
New Jersey	\$353.76	26.0	\$226.22	20.0	\$579.98	27.0
New Mexico	\$987.09	3.0	\$175.20	35.0	\$1,162.29	4.0
New York	\$325.02	29.0	\$363.47	5.0	\$688.50	15.0
North Carolina	\$208.85	49.0	\$166.17	38.0	\$375.03	49.0
North Dakota	\$460.20	14.0	\$311.64	8.0	\$771.84	7.0
Ohio	\$305.90	33.0	\$258.17	13.0	\$564.08	30.0
Oklahoma	\$320.80	31.0	\$162.74	39.0	\$483.53	41.0
Oregon	\$419.22	18.0	\$242.84	16.0	\$662.06	17.0
Pennsylvania	\$323.73	30.0	\$243.25	15.0	\$566.98	29.0
Rhode Island	\$651.22	7.0	\$89.34	49.0	\$740.56	9.0
South Carolina	\$301.19	34.0	\$231.43	19.0	\$532.62	36.0
South Dakota	\$540.24	9.0	\$131.03	42.0	\$671.27	16.0
Tennessee	\$298.00	36.0	\$181.74	30.0	\$479.75	42.0
Texas	\$387.73	21.0	\$220.07	21.0	\$607.80	23.0
Utah	\$293.17	38.0	\$240.23	17.0	\$533.40	35.0
Vermont	\$507.21	10.0	\$128.17	43.0	\$635.38	18.0
Virginia	\$412.35	19.0	\$191.34	28.0	\$603.69	24.0
Washington	\$261.85	41.0	\$210.18	25.0	\$472.03	44.0
West Virginia	\$668.22	6.0	\$170.97	36.0	\$839.20	6.0
Wisconsin	\$367.36	23.0	\$140.35	41.0	\$507.71	39.0
Wyoming	\$983.00	4.0	\$264.52	12.0	\$1,247.52	3.0
Mean	\$502.66		\$218.35		\$721.01	
Median	\$354.02		\$208.39		\$591.57	

1

Own-source general receipts encompass collections from taxes, current charges, and miscellaneous funding bases (e.g., property sales, special assessments, interest-bearing deposits, and land use fees). The scope of these revenues, however, does not extend to any amounts generated by intergovernmental transfer payments or by liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds falling within the proprietary control of a given state or locality.

2

With regard to the state-by-state series of per capita values, the largest amount is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest amount the highest rank score. In the event of tied per capita values, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

3

In relation to a particular state, the per capita amount covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the revenue data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the per capita amounts displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 population estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2006" (electronic dataset), December 22, 2006.

Table 1D: Own-Source General Revenue Per Capita by Funding Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005--A 50 State Profile/1

State	All Funding Dimensions					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Per Capita Amount/3	Rank Score/2	Per Capita Amount/3	Rank Score/2	Per Capita Amount/3	Rank Score/2
Alabama	\$2,588.12	40.0	\$1,875.42	36.0	\$4,463.54	41.0
Alaska	\$8,467.82	1.0	\$2,599.96	8.0	\$11,067.78	1.0
Arizona	\$2,423.51	45.0	\$2,020.93	31.0	\$4,444.43	42.0
Arkansas	\$3,180.74	21.0	\$1,076.73	49.0	\$4,257.47	47.0
California	\$3,331.44	15.0	\$2,681.95	7.0	\$6,013.39	9.0
Colorado	\$2,463.08	43.0	\$2,904.66	3.0	\$5,367.74	20.0
Connecticut	\$4,181.45	6.0	\$2,449.97	12.0	\$6,631.41	4.0
Delaware	\$5,201.82	2.0	\$1,382.79	44.0	\$6,584.61	5.0
Florida	\$2,521.88	42.0	\$2,715.74	5.0	\$5,237.62	21.0
Georgia	\$2,259.88	49.0	\$2,172.85	21.0	\$4,432.73	43.0
Hawaii	\$4,827.96	3.0	\$1,200.59	48.0	\$6,028.55	8.0
Idaho	\$2,816.96	33.0	\$1,787.03	40.0	\$4,603.99	39.0
Illinois	\$2,659.47	39.0	\$2,481.40	9.0	\$5,140.87	26.0
Indiana	\$2,814.69	34.0	\$2,314.23	15.0	\$5,128.91	27.0
Iowa	\$2,866.77	32.0	\$2,225.20	18.0	\$5,091.96	29.0
Kansas	\$2,674.21	38.0	\$2,262.93	17.0	\$4,937.13	31.0
Kentucky	\$2,975.04	27.0	\$1,304.05	46.0	\$4,279.09	46.0
Louisiana	\$2,909.18	29.0	\$1,990.61	32.0	\$4,899.78	32.0
Maine	\$3,416.00	13.0	\$2,068.79	28.0	\$5,484.78	16.0
Maryland	\$3,235.71	19.0	\$2,478.86	10.0	\$5,714.58	11.0
Massachusetts	\$4,053.26	7.0	\$2,150.85	23.0	\$6,204.11	7.0
Michigan	\$3,266.33	17.0	\$1,964.03	33.0	\$5,230.36	24.0
Minnesota	\$3,772.08	8.0	\$2,133.75	26.0	\$5,905.83	10.0
Mississippi	\$2,436.17	44.0	\$1,640.17	41.0	\$4,076.34	50.0
Missouri	\$2,350.19	46.0	\$2,059.26	30.0	\$4,409.45	44.0
Montana	\$3,031.64	24.0	\$1,595.98	42.0	\$4,627.62	38.0
Nebraska	\$3,024.86	25.0	\$2,467.00	11.0	\$5,491.86	15.0
Nevada	\$2,947.23	28.0	\$2,684.90	6.0	\$5,632.13	13.0
New Hampshire	\$2,544.19	41.0	\$2,146.65	24.0	\$4,690.84	36.0
New Jersey	\$3,528.36	12.0	\$2,904.51	4.0	\$6,432.87	6.0
New Mexico	\$3,760.22	9.0	\$1,368.52	45.0	\$5,128.74	28.0
New York	\$3,277.43	16.0	\$4,232.12	1.0	\$7,509.55	3.0
North Carolina	\$2,750.05	37.0	\$1,918.53	34.0	\$4,668.57	37.0
North Dakota	\$3,362.10	14.0	\$1,788.19	39.0	\$5,150.29	25.0
Ohio	\$2,904.73	30.0	\$2,328.75	14.0	\$5,233.48	23.0
Oklahoma	\$2,753.24	36.0	\$1,573.30	43.0	\$4,326.54	45.0
Oregon	\$2,868.36	31.0	\$2,166.57	22.0	\$5,034.93	30.0
Pennsylvania	\$3,024.83	26.0	\$2,208.83	20.0	\$5,233.66	22.0
Rhode Island	\$3,538.73	11.0	\$2,060.13	29.0	\$5,598.86	14.0
South Carolina	\$2,756.19	35.0	\$2,135.51	25.0	\$4,891.71	33.0
South Dakota	\$2,284.60	47.0	\$1,810.61	37.0	\$4,095.21	49.0
Tennessee	\$2,284.32	48.0	\$1,894.37	35.0	\$4,178.70	48.0
Texas	\$2,193.93	50.0	\$2,395.05	13.0	\$4,588.98	40.0
Utah	\$3,077.13	23.0	\$1,792.37	38.0	\$4,869.49	34.0
Vermont	\$4,768.91	4.0	\$885.67	50.0	\$5,654.58	12.0
Virginia	\$3,214.43	20.0	\$2,221.05	19.0	\$5,435.48	18.0
Washington	\$3,155.18	22.0	\$2,312.90	16.0	\$5,468.08	17.0
West Virginia	\$3,659.39	10.0	\$1,202.31	47.0	\$4,861.70	35.0
Wisconsin	\$3,258.33	18.0	\$2,128.12	27.0	\$5,386.45	19.0
Wyoming	\$4,682.21	5.0	\$3,754.31	2.0	\$8,436.51	2.0
Mean	\$3,246.89		\$2,118.38		\$5,365.27	
Median	\$3,024.85		\$2,134.63		\$5,145.58	

1

Own-source general receipts encompass collections from taxes, current charges, and miscellaneous funding bases (e.g., property sales, special assessments, interest-bearing deposits, and land use fees). The scope of these revenues, however, does not extend to any amounts generated by intergovernmental transfer payments or by liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds falling within the proprietary control of a given state or locality.

2

With regard to the state-by-state series of per capita values, the largest amount is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest amount the highest rank score. In the event of tied per capita values, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

3

In relation to a particular state, the per capita amount covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the revenue data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the per capita amounts displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 population estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2006" (electronic dataset), December 22, 2006.

**Own-Source General Revenue as a Percentage of Personal Income
by
Funding Dimension
and
Level of Government
FY 2005**

Tables 2A-2D

Table 2A: Own-Source General Revenue as a Percentage of Personal Income by Funding Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005--A 50 State Profile/1

State	Taxes					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/2	Percentage	Rank Score/2	Percentage	Rank Score/2
Alabama	6.16%	38.0	3.07%	42.0	9.23%	47.0
Alaska	8.35%	9.0	4.89%	10.0	13.24%	5.0
Arizona	6.71%	32.0	4.46%	21.5	11.17%	24.0
Arkansas	9.25%	5.0	2.12%	49.0	11.37%	22.0
California	7.76%	13.0	3.80%	33.0	11.56%	16.0
Colorado	4.64%	48.0	4.88%	11.0	9.52%	46.0
Connecticut	7.31%	20.0	4.61%	16.0	11.92%	11.0
Delaware	8.84%	6.0	2.35%	48.0	11.19%	23.0
Florida	6.00%	40.0	4.60%	17.0	10.60%	36.0
Georgia	5.92%	43.0	4.46%	21.5	10.38%	39.5
Hawaii	10.78%	2.0	2.65%	47.0	13.43%	3.0
Idaho	7.68%	14.0	3.26%	39.0	10.94%	30.0
Illinois	5.97%	41.5	5.14%	6.0	11.11%	26.0
Indiana	6.85%	26.0	4.52%	19.5	11.38%	20.5
Iowa	6.30%	37.0	4.33%	25.0	10.64%	35.0
Kansas	6.55%	34.0	4.43%	23.0	10.97%	28.0
Kentucky	8.13%	10.0	2.83%	44.0	10.96%	29.0
Louisiana	7.09%	24.0	4.65%	15.0	11.74%	14.0
Maine	7.83%	11.0	5.48%	2.0	13.30%	4.0
Maryland	6.12%	39.0	4.72%	13.0	10.83%	31.5
Massachusetts	6.72%	31.0	4.01%	30.0	10.73%	34.0
Michigan	7.35%	19.0	3.68%	35.0	11.02%	27.0
Minnesota	8.62%	8.0	2.76%	45.0	11.38%	20.5
Mississippi	7.82%	12.0	2.96%	43.0	10.79%	33.0
Missouri	5.51%	46.0	4.52%	19.5	10.04%	43.0
Montana	7.27%	21.0	3.28%	38.0	10.56%	38.0
Nebraska	6.80%	29.0	5.00%	9.0	11.80%	13.0
Nevada	7.15%	23.0	4.25%	26.0	11.40%	19.0
New Hampshire	4.28%	50.0	4.86%	12.0	9.14%	49.0
New Jersey	6.32%	36.0	5.40%	3.0	11.72%	15.0
New Mexico	8.82%	7.0	3.15%	41.0	11.97%	10.0
New York	6.76%	30.0	8.21%	1.0	14.97%	2.0
North Carolina	7.39%	17.0	3.44%	36.0	10.83%	31.5
North Dakota	7.58%	15.0	3.88%	32.0	11.46%	18.0
Ohio	6.81%	28.0	5.02%	8.0	11.83%	12.0
Oklahoma	6.86%	25.0	3.21%	40.0	10.07%	42.0
Oregon	5.86%	44.0	4.12%	28.5	9.98%	45.0
Pennsylvania	6.59%	33.0	4.54%	18.0	11.13%	25.0
Rhode Island	7.17%	22.0	5.10%	7.0	12.27%	7.0
South Carolina	6.44%	35.0	3.94%	31.0	10.38%	39.5
South Dakota	4.61%	49.0	4.13%	27.0	8.75%	50.0
Tennessee	5.74%	45.0	3.43%	37.0	9.17%	48.0
Texas	4.75%	47.0	5.26%	4.5	10.01%	44.0
Utah	7.38%	18.0	4.12%	28.5	11.51%	17.0
Vermont	11.49%	1.0	1.70%	50.0	13.19%	6.0
Virginia	5.97%	41.5	4.40%	24.0	10.37%	41.0
Washington	6.84%	27.0	3.75%	34.0	10.59%	37.0
West Virginia	9.39%	4.0	2.73%	46.0	12.11%	9.0
Wisconsin	7.45%	16.0	4.68%	14.0	12.13%	8.0
Wyoming	9.82%	3.0	5.26%	4.5	15.08%	1.0
Mean	7.12%		4.12%		11.24%	
Median	6.86%		4.29%		11.12%	

1

Own-source general receipts encompass collections from taxes, current charges, and miscellaneous funding bases (e.g., property sales, special assessments, interest-bearing deposits, and land use fees). The scope of these revenues, however, does not extend to any amounts generated by intergovernmental transfer payments or by liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds falling within the proprietary control of a given state or locality.

2

With regard to the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

3

In relation to a particular state, the percentage covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the revenue data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the percentages displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 income estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Economic Accounts: State Annual Personal Income, 2002-2006" (electronic dataset), March 27, 2007.

Table 2B: Own-Source General Revenue as a Percentage of Personal Income by Funding Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005--A 50 State Profile/1

State	Current Charges					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/2	Percentage	Rank Score/2	Percentage	Rank Score/2
Alabama	2.17%	8.0	2.96%	4.0	5.13%	3.0
Alaska	2.16%	9.0	1.87%	19.0	4.03%	10.0
Arizona	0.78%	47.0	1.74%	27.0	2.52%	42.0
Arkansas	2.23%	7.0	1.36%	33.0	3.60%	16.0
California	0.94%	42.5	2.72%	6.0	3.66%	14.5
Colorado	1.18%	35.0	2.26%	13.0	3.43%	22.0
Connecticut	0.93%	44.0	0.55%	50.0	1.48%	50.0
Delaware	2.50%	3.5	1.06%	43.0	3.55%	18.0
Florida	0.71%	50.0	2.58%	7.0	3.29%	25.0
Georgia	0.94%	42.5	2.18%	14.0	3.12%	29.0
Hawaii	2.50%	3.5	0.76%	46.5	3.26%	26.0
Idaho	1.31%	29.0	2.81%	5.0	4.11%	8.0
Illinois	0.79%	46.0	1.37%	32.0	2.16%	46.0
Indiana	1.49%	24.5	2.17%	15.0	3.66%	14.5
Iowa	2.05%	12.0	2.29%	11.0	4.35%	6.0
Kansas	1.21%	32.0	1.84%	21.5	3.05%	32.0
Kentucky	1.79%	16.0	1.19%	39.5	2.98%	33.5
Louisiana	2.08%	10.0	1.99%	18.0	4.08%	9.0
Maine	1.54%	23.0	1.09%	41.0	2.63%	41.0
Maryland	1.13%	38.0	1.07%	42.0	2.20%	45.0
Massachusetts	1.14%	36.5	0.88%	45.0	2.02%	48.5
Michigan	1.70%	19.0	1.84%	21.5	3.54%	19.0
Minnesota	1.11%	39.0	2.10%	16.0	3.20%	27.0
Mississippi	1.74%	17.0	3.19%	2.0	4.93%	5.0
Missouri	1.20%	33.5	1.75%	25.5	2.95%	36.0
Montana	1.87%	15.0	1.60%	30.0	3.47%	20.0
Nebraska	1.26%	31.0	1.72%	28.0	2.98%	33.5
Nevada	0.77%	48.0	2.34%	10.0	3.11%	30.0
New Hampshire	1.37%	27.0	0.76%	46.5	2.13%	47.0
New Jersey	1.27%	30.0	1.00%	44.0	2.26%	43.0
New Mexico	1.58%	21.0	1.32%	36.0	2.90%	37.0
New York	0.91%	45.0	1.85%	20.0	2.76%	40.0
North Carolina	1.20%	33.5	2.49%	8.0	3.70%	12.5
North Dakota	2.39%	6.0	1.19%	39.5	3.58%	17.0
Ohio	1.64%	20.0	1.71%	29.0	3.35%	23.0
Oklahoma	1.71%	18.0	1.75%	25.5	3.46%	21.0
Oregon	2.04%	13.0	2.08%	17.0	4.12%	7.0
Pennsylvania	1.49%	24.5	1.35%	34.5	2.84%	38.0
Rhode Island	1.33%	28.0	0.70%	49.0	2.02%	48.5
South Carolina	2.62%	2.0	3.08%	3.0	5.71%	1.0
South Dakota	0.97%	40.5	1.25%	38.0	2.21%	44.0
Tennessee	0.97%	40.5	2.35%	9.0	3.31%	24.0
Texas	1.14%	36.5	1.83%	23.0	2.97%	35.0
Utah	3.24%	1.0	1.80%	24.0	5.04%	4.0
Vermont	2.07%	11.0	0.71%	48.0	2.78%	39.0
Virginia	1.88%	14.0	1.28%	37.0	3.17%	28.0
Washington	1.44%	26.0	2.27%	12.0	3.70%	12.5
West Virginia	2.44%	5.0	1.35%	34.5	3.78%	11.0
Wisconsin	1.56%	22.0	1.52%	31.0	3.07%	31.0
Wyoming	0.75%	49.0	4.70%	1.0	5.45%	2.0
Mean	1.54%		1.79%		3.34%	
Median	1.47%		1.75%		3.28%	

1

Own-source general receipts encompass collections from taxes, current charges, and miscellaneous funding bases (e.g., property sales, special assessments, interest-bearing deposits, and land use fees). The scope of these revenues, however, does not extend to any amounts generated by intergovernmental transfer payments or by liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds falling within the proprietary control of a given state or locality.

2

With regard to the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

3

In relation to a particular state, the percentage covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the revenue data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the percentages displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 income estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Economic Accounts: State Annual Personal Income, 2002-2006" (electronic dataset), March 27, 2007.

Table 2C: Own-Source General Revenue as a Percentage of Personal Income by Funding Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005--A 50 State Profile/1

State	Miscellaneous Sources					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/2	Percentage	Rank Score/2	Percentage	Rank Score/2
Alabama	0.90%	37.0	0.66%	28.5	1.56%	40.0
Alaska	14.49%	1.0	0.91%	12.0	15.40%	1.0
Arizona	0.99%	32.0	0.88%	13.0	1.87%	25.0
Arkansas	0.85%	40.5	0.69%	24.0	1.54%	41.0
California	0.71%	47.5	1.06%	4.0	1.77%	29.5
Colorado	1.06%	28.0	0.98%	8.0	2.03%	18.0
Connecticut	0.97%	34.5	0.24%	50.0	1.22%	50.0
Delaware	3.38%	3.0	0.51%	39.0	3.89%	3.0
Florida	1.04%	29.0	1.18%	2.0	2.21%	11.0
Georgia	0.76%	44.0	0.70%	23.0	1.46%	44.0
Hawaii	1.50%	14.0	0.26%	48.5	1.77%	29.5
Idaho	1.29%	18.0	0.45%	41.0	1.74%	32.0
Illinois	0.89%	38.5	0.62%	30.0	1.51%	42.0
Indiana	0.99%	32.0	0.99%	7.0	1.98%	20.5
Iowa	0.93%	36.0	0.58%	33.0	1.50%	43.0
Kansas	0.81%	43.0	0.97%	9.0	1.78%	28.0
Kentucky	1.09%	27.0	0.81%	17.0	1.90%	24.0
Louisiana	1.56%	13.0	0.71%	22.0	2.27%	10.0
Maine	2.07%	6.0	0.36%	45.0	2.44%	8.0
Maryland	0.89%	38.5	0.46%	40.0	1.35%	46.5
Massachusetts	1.87%	8.0	0.28%	46.0	2.15%	13.5
Michigan	1.25%	20.0	0.67%	27.0	1.92%	23.0
Minnesota	0.70%	49.0	1.05%	5.0	1.75%	31.0
Mississippi	0.59%	50.0	0.68%	25.5	1.26%	49.0
Missouri	1.10%	26.0	0.57%	34.5	1.67%	36.0
Montana	1.74%	9.0	0.85%	14.5	2.59%	7.0
Nebraska	1.41%	15.0	1.00%	6.0	2.41%	9.0
Nevada	0.75%	45.5	1.30%	1.0	2.05%	16.0
New Hampshire	1.34%	17.0	0.27%	47.0	1.61%	38.0
New Jersey	0.85%	40.5	0.54%	37.5	1.39%	45.0
New Mexico	3.70%	2.0	0.66%	28.5	4.36%	2.0
New York	0.84%	42.0	0.94%	10.0	1.79%	27.0
North Carolina	0.71%	47.5	0.56%	36.0	1.27%	48.0
North Dakota	1.58%	12.0	1.07%	3.0	2.65%	6.0
Ohio	0.99%	32.0	0.84%	16.0	1.83%	26.0
Oklahoma	1.13%	23.0	0.57%	34.5	1.70%	33.5
Oregon	1.35%	16.0	0.78%	18.0	2.13%	15.0
Pennsylvania	0.97%	34.5	0.73%	20.0	1.70%	33.5
Rhode Island	1.92%	7.0	0.26%	48.5	2.18%	12.0
South Carolina	1.11%	25.0	0.85%	14.5	1.97%	22.0
South Dakota	1.73%	10.0	0.42%	43.0	2.15%	13.5
Tennessee	1.01%	30.0	0.61%	31.0	1.62%	37.0
Texas	1.26%	19.0	0.72%	21.0	1.98%	20.5
Utah	1.12%	24.0	0.92%	11.0	2.04%	17.0
Vermont	1.61%	11.0	0.41%	44.0	2.02%	19.0
Virginia	1.16%	21.0	0.54%	37.5	1.69%	35.0
Washington	0.75%	45.5	0.60%	32.0	1.35%	46.5
West Virginia	2.64%	5.0	0.68%	25.5	3.32%	5.0
Wisconsin	1.14%	22.0	0.44%	42.0	1.58%	39.0
Wyoming	2.81%	4.0	0.76%	19.0	3.56%	4.0
Mean	1.57%		0.69%		2.26%	
Median	1.11%		0.68%		1.85%	

1

Own-source general receipts encompass collections from taxes, current charges, and miscellaneous funding bases (e.g., property sales, special assessments, interest-bearing deposits, and land use fees). The scope of these revenues, however, does not extend to any amounts generated by intergovernmental transfer payments or by liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds falling within the proprietary control of a given state or locality.

2

With regard to the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

3

In relation to a particular state, the percentage covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the revenue data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the percentages displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 income estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Economic Accounts: State Annual Personal Income, 2002-2006" (electronic dataset), March 27, 2007.

Table 2D: Own-Source General Revenue as a Percentage of Personal Income by Funding Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005--A 50 State Profile/1

State	All Funding Dimensions					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage/3	Rank Score/2	Percentage/3	Rank Score/2	Percentage/3	Rank Score/2
Alabama	9.23%	31.0	6.69%	25.0	15.92%	30.0
Alaska	24.99%	1.0	7.67%	10.0	32.67%	1.0
Arizona	8.48%	39.0	7.08%	18.0	15.56%	36.0
Arkansas	12.33%	8.0	4.17%	47.0	16.51%	23.0
California	9.42%	26.0	7.58%	11.0	17.00%	17.0
Colorado	6.88%	50.0	8.11%	4.0	14.99%	40.0
Connecticut	9.21%	32.0	5.40%	42.0	14.61%	46.0
Delaware	14.72%	4.0	3.91%	48.0	18.63%	6.0
Florida	7.75%	43.0	8.35%	3.0	16.10%	29.0
Georgia	7.63%	46.0	7.33%	14.0	14.96%	42.0
Hawaii	14.78%	3.0	3.68%	49.0	18.45%	8.0
Idaho	10.28%	18.0	6.52%	29.0	16.80%	19.0
Illinois	7.64%	45.0	7.13%	17.0	14.78%	44.0
Indiana	9.34%	27.0	7.68%	9.0	17.02%	15.0
Iowa	9.28%	29.0	7.21%	16.0	16.49%	24.0
Kansas	8.56%	37.0	7.24%	15.0	15.81%	32.0
Kentucky	11.01%	12.0	4.83%	45.0	15.84%	31.0
Louisiana	10.74%	14.0	7.35%	13.0	18.09%	10.0
Maine	11.44%	11.0	6.93%	21.0	18.37%	9.0
Maryland	8.14%	41.0	6.24%	32.0	14.38%	47.0
Massachusetts	9.73%	22.0	5.17%	43.0	14.90%	43.0
Michigan	10.29%	17.0	6.19%	34.0	16.48%	25.0
Minnesota	10.43%	15.0	5.90%	37.5	16.33%	27.0
Mississippi	10.15%	20.5	6.83%	24.0	16.98%	18.0
Missouri	7.81%	42.0	6.85%	22.0	14.66%	45.0
Montana	10.88%	13.0	5.73%	40.0	16.62%	21.0
Nebraska	9.47%	24.0	7.72%	8.0	17.19%	14.0
Nevada	8.66%	36.0	7.89%	5.0	16.55%	22.0
New Hampshire	6.99%	49.0	5.90%	37.5	12.89%	50.0
New Jersey	8.43%	40.0	6.94%	20.0	15.37%	37.0
New Mexico	14.10%	6.0	5.13%	44.0	19.23%	4.0
New York	8.52%	38.0	11.00%	1.0	19.52%	3.0
North Carolina	9.30%	28.0	6.49%	30.0	15.79%	33.0
North Dakota	11.55%	10.0	6.14%	35.0	17.70%	13.0
Ohio	9.44%	25.0	7.57%	12.0	17.01%	16.0
Oklahoma	9.70%	23.0	5.54%	41.0	15.24%	38.0
Oregon	9.25%	30.0	6.98%	19.0	16.23%	28.0
Pennsylvania	9.05%	33.0	6.61%	28.0	15.66%	34.0
Rhode Island	10.41%	16.0	6.06%	36.0	16.47%	26.0
South Carolina	10.18%	19.0	7.88%	6.0	18.06%	11.0
South Dakota	7.31%	47.0	5.80%	39.0	13.11%	49.0
Tennessee	7.71%	44.0	6.39%	31.0	14.10%	48.0
Texas	7.15%	48.0	7.81%	7.0	14.97%	41.0
Utah	11.74%	9.0	6.84%	23.0	18.58%	7.0
Vermont	15.17%	2.0	2.82%	50.0	17.99%	12.0
Virginia	9.00%	35.0	6.22%	33.0	15.23%	39.0
Washington	9.03%	34.0	6.62%	27.0	15.64%	35.0
West Virginia	14.46%	5.0	4.75%	46.0	19.22%	5.0
Wisconsin	10.15%	20.5	6.63%	26.0	16.78%	20.0
Wyoming	13.37%	7.0	10.72%	2.0	24.09%	2.0
Mean	10.23%		6.60%		16.83%	
Median	9.43%		6.66%		16.48%	

1

Own-source general receipts encompass collections from taxes, current charges, and miscellaneous funding bases (e.g., property sales, special assessments, interest-bearing deposits, and land use fees). The scope of these revenues, however, does not extend to any amounts generated by intergovernmental transfer payments or by liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds falling within the proprietary control of a given state or locality.

2

With regard to the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

3

In relation to a particular state, the percentage covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the revenue data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the percentages displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 income estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Economic Accounts: State Annual Personal Income, 2002-2006" (electronic dataset), March 27, 2007.

**Percentage Impact of Funding Dimensions
on
Total Own-Source General Revenue
by
Level of Government
FY 2005**

Tables 3A-3C

Table 3A: Percentage Impact of Funding Dimension on Total Own-Source General Revenue by Level of Government, FY 2005--A 50 State Profile/1

State	Taxes					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/2	Percentage	Rank Score/2	Percentage	Rank Score/2
Alabama	66.72%	37.0	45.88%	49.0	57.96%	48.0
Alaska	33.40%	50.0	63.74%	19.0	40.53%	50.0
Arizona	79.05%	7.0	63.06%	22.0	71.78%	12.0
Arkansas	74.99%	16.0	50.76%	43.0	68.86%	20.5
California	82.44%	3.0	50.13%	44.0	68.03%	26.0
Colorado	67.52%	35.0	60.13%	29.0	63.52%	40.5
Connecticut	79.29%	6.0	85.42%	1.0	81.56%	1.0
Delaware	60.07%	49.0	59.95%	30.0	60.04%	47.0
Florida	77.39%	10.0	55.06%	39.0	65.81%	33.0
Georgia	77.63%	9.0	60.83%	25.0	69.40%	18.0
Hawaii	72.95%	24.0	72.07%	9.0	72.78%	8.0
Idaho	74.67%	18.0	50.07%	45.0	65.12%	34.0
Illinois	78.11%	8.0	72.04%	10.0	75.18%	5.0
Indiana	73.39%	23.0	58.90%	33.0	66.85%	30.0
Iowa	67.91%	34.0	60.16%	28.0	64.52%	38.0
Kansas	76.46%	12.0	61.12%	24.0	69.43%	17.0
Kentucky	73.81%	20.0	58.73%	34.0	69.22%	19.0
Louisiana	66.05%	40.0	63.28%	20.0	64.92%	36.0
Maine	68.42%	33.0	79.04%	4.0	72.43%	9.0
Maryland	75.12%	15.0	75.57%	7.0	75.31%	4.0
Massachusetts	69.06%	31.0	77.60%	6.0	72.02%	11.0
Michigan	71.36%	28.0	59.38%	31.0	66.86%	29.0
Minnesota	82.65%	1.0	46.70%	48.0	69.66%	15.0
Mississippi	77.08%	11.0	43.38%	50.0	63.52%	40.5
Missouri	70.59%	30.0	66.10%	17.0	68.49%	24.0
Montana	66.81%	36.0	57.32%	37.0	63.54%	39.0
Nebraska	71.84%	27.0	64.73%	18.0	68.65%	22.0
Nevada	82.50%	2.0	53.88%	40.0	68.86%	20.5
New Hampshire	61.23%	48.0	82.46%	3.0	70.95%	14.0
New Jersey	74.92%	17.0	77.87%	5.0	76.25%	3.0
New Mexico	62.55%	47.0	61.42%	23.0	62.25%	44.0
New York	79.38%	5.0	74.61%	8.0	76.69%	2.0
North Carolina	79.45%	4.0	52.96%	42.0	68.56%	23.0
North Dakota	65.63%	41.0	63.14%	21.0	64.76%	37.0
Ohio	72.11%	26.0	66.35%	16.0	69.55%	16.0
Oklahoma	70.71%	29.0	57.99%	35.0	66.09%	32.0
Oregon	63.36%	43.0	58.96%	32.0	61.47%	46.0
Pennsylvania	72.82%	25.0	68.61%	14.0	71.04%	13.0
Rhode Island	68.85%	32.0	84.16%	2.0	74.48%	6.0
South Carolina	63.30%	44.0	50.03%	46.0	57.51%	49.0
South Dakota	63.10%	45.0	71.28%	11.0	66.72%	31.0
Tennessee	74.43%	19.0	53.68%	41.0	65.02%	35.0
Texas	66.36%	38.0	67.40%	15.0	66.90%	28.0
Utah	62.88%	46.0	60.30%	27.0	61.93%	45.0
Vermont	75.74%	14.0	60.34%	26.0	73.32%	7.0
Virginia	66.28%	39.0	70.74%	12.0	68.10%	25.0
Washington	75.79%	13.0	56.67%	38.0	67.70%	27.0
West Virginia	64.90%	42.0	57.39%	36.0	63.04%	42.0
Wisconsin	73.40%	22.0	70.51%	13.0	72.26%	10.0
Wyoming	73.43%	21.0	49.07%	47.0	62.59%	43.0
Mean	70.96%		62.62%		67.44%	
Median	72.47%		60.59%		68.07%	

1

Own-source general receipts encompass collections from taxes, current charges, and miscellaneous funding bases (e.g., property sales, special assessments, interest-bearing deposits, and land use fees). The scope of these revenues, however, does not extend to any amounts generated by intergovernmental transfer payments or by liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds falling within the proprietary control of a given state or locality. [With respect to the percentages covering a specified level of government or both levels combined, the categorical values for a particular state across Tables 3A, 3B, and 3C may yield a grand total that differs slightly from 100% because of statistical rounding.]

2

Regarding the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

Source: For the revenue data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007.

Staff, Commission on Local Government

Table 3B: Percentage Impact of Funding Dimension on Total Own-Source General Revenue by Level of Government, FY 2005--A 50 State Profile/1

State	Current Charges					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/2	Percentage	Rank Score/2	Percentage	Rank Score/2
Alabama	23.52%	3.0	44.24%	2.0	32.23%	1.0
Alaska	8.62%	49.0	24.41%	32.0	12.33%	48.0
Arizona	9.22%	46.0	24.56%	30.5	16.20%	39.0
Arkansas	18.12%	10.0	32.69%	11.0	21.80%	15.0
California	10.01%	45.0	35.88%	8.0	21.55%	16.0
Colorado	17.13%	15.0	27.80%	22.0	22.91%	11.0
Connecticut	10.13%	44.0	10.11%	50.0	10.12%	50.0
Delaware	16.95%	16.0	27.04%	24.0	19.07%	30.0
Florida	9.21%	47.0	30.86%	14.0	20.43%	22.0
Georgia	12.38%	38.0	29.66%	18.0	20.85%	20.0
Hawaii	16.89%	17.0	20.74%	38.0	17.66%	35.0
Idaho	12.74%	36.0	43.02%	4.0	24.49%	7.0
Illinois	10.28%	43.0	19.26%	42.0	14.61%	44.0
Indiana	15.96%	22.5	28.25%	20.0	21.51%	17.0
Iowa	22.10%	4.0	31.85%	12.0	26.36%	5.0
Kansas	14.09%	28.0	25.43%	28.0	19.29%	29.0
Kentucky	16.27%	21.0	24.56%	30.5	18.79%	31.0
Louisiana	19.40%	9.0	27.11%	23.0	22.53%	14.0
Maine	13.46%	31.0	15.72%	46.0	14.31%	45.0
Maryland	13.93%	29.0	17.12%	43.0	15.32%	41.0
Massachusetts	11.76%	39.0	16.95%	44.0	13.56%	47.0
Michigan	16.51%	19.0	29.73%	16.0	21.48%	18.0
Minnesota	10.61%	42.0	35.55%	9.0	19.62%	28.0
Mississippi	17.14%	14.0	46.73%	1.0	29.05%	3.0
Missouri	15.30%	26.0	25.63%	27.0	20.12%	24.0
Montana	17.21%	13.0	27.91%	21.0	20.90%	19.0
Nebraska	13.28%	32.0	22.34%	36.0	17.35%	36.0
Nevada	8.86%	48.0	29.67%	17.0	18.78%	32.0
New Hampshire	19.60%	8.0	12.96%	48.0	16.56%	38.0
New Jersey	15.06%	27.0	14.34%	47.0	14.73%	43.0
New Mexico	11.20%	40.0	25.78%	26.0	15.09%	42.0
New York	10.70%	41.0	16.80%	45.0	14.14%	46.0
North Carolina	12.96%	34.0	38.38%	6.0	23.40%	10.0
North Dakota	20.69%	7.0	19.43%	41.0	20.25%	23.0
Ohio	17.36%	12.0	22.57%	35.0	19.68%	27.0
Oklahoma	17.63%	11.0	31.67%	13.0	22.74%	12.0
Oregon	22.02%	5.0	29.84%	15.0	25.39%	6.0
Pennsylvania	16.48%	20.0	20.38%	40.0	18.12%	34.0
Rhode Island	12.75%	35.0	11.50%	49.0	12.29%	49.0
South Carolina	25.78%	2.0	39.13%	5.0	31.61%	2.0
South Dakota	13.25%	33.0	21.48%	37.0	16.89%	37.0
Tennessee	12.53%	37.0	36.72%	7.0	23.50%	9.0
Texas	15.96%	22.5	23.41%	33.0	19.85%	25.0
Utah	27.59%	1.0	26.30%	25.0	27.12%	4.0
Vermont	13.63%	30.0	25.19%	29.0	15.44%	40.0
Virginia	20.89%	6.0	20.64%	39.0	20.79%	21.0
Washington	15.92%	24.0	34.24%	10.0	23.67%	8.0
West Virginia	16.84%	18.0	28.39%	19.0	19.69%	26.0
Wisconsin	15.32%	25.0	22.90%	34.0	18.31%	33.0
Wyoming	5.58%	50.0	43.88%	3.0	22.62%	13.0
Mean	15.22%		26.82%		19.90%	
Median	15.31%		26.04%		19.77%	

1

Own-source general receipts encompass collections from taxes, current charges, and miscellaneous funding bases (e.g., property sales, special assessments, interest-bearing deposits, and land use fees). The scope of these revenues, however, does not extend to any amounts generated by intergovernmental transfer payments or by liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds falling within the proprietary control of a given state or locality. [With respect to the percentages covering a specified level of government or both levels combined, the categorical values for a particular state across Tables 3A, 3B, and 3C may yield a grand total that differs slightly from 100% because of statistical rounding.]

2

Regarding the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

Source: For the revenue data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007.

Staff, Commission on Local Government

Table 3C: Percentage Impact of Funding Dimension on Total Own-Source General Revenue by Level of Government, FY 2005--A 50 State Profile/1

State	Miscellaneous Sources					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/2	Percentage	Rank Score/2	Percentage	Rank Score/2
Alabama	9.76%	41.0	9.88%	26.5	9.81%	38.0
Alaska	57.97%	1.0	11.85%	19.0	47.14%	1.0
Arizona	11.73%	24.0	12.38%	17.0	12.02%	20.0
Arkansas	6.89%	48.0	16.55%	4.0	9.33%	43.0
California	7.55%	47.0	14.00%	10.0	10.42%	35.0
Colorado	15.35%	13.0	12.07%	18.0	13.57%	12.0
Connecticut	10.58%	33.0	4.47%	49.0	8.32%	48.0
Delaware	22.98%	4.0	13.02%	13.0	20.89%	3.0
Florida	13.40%	19.0	14.08%	9.0	13.75%	11.0
Georgia	9.99%	37.5	9.51%	30.0	9.75%	39.0
Hawaii	10.16%	35.0	7.18%	42.0	9.56%	40.0
Idaho	12.59%	22.0	6.91%	44.0	10.39%	36.0
Illinois	11.61%	26.0	8.70%	33.0	10.21%	37.0
Indiana	10.65%	31.0	12.85%	15.0	11.64%	23.0
Iowa	9.99%	37.5	7.99%	38.0	9.12%	45.0
Kansas	9.45%	43.0	13.45%	11.0	11.28%	26.0
Kentucky	9.92%	39.5	16.71%	3.0	11.99%	21.0
Louisiana	14.56%	16.0	9.61%	28.0	12.55%	17.0
Maine	18.12%	10.0	5.24%	47.0	13.26%	13.0
Maryland	10.95%	28.0	7.31%	40.0	9.37%	42.0
Massachusetts	19.19%	6.0	5.45%	46.0	14.43%	9.0
Michigan	12.13%	23.0	10.90%	23.0	11.66%	22.0
Minnesota	6.74%	49.0	17.76%	1.0	10.72%	34.0
Mississippi	5.78%	50.0	9.88%	26.5	7.43%	50.0
Missouri	14.12%	17.0	8.28%	37.0	11.39%	25.0
Montana	15.98%	12.0	14.77%	6.0	15.56%	6.0
Nebraska	14.88%	14.0	12.93%	14.0	14.00%	10.0
Nevada	8.64%	44.0	16.45%	5.0	12.36%	19.0
New Hampshire	19.16%	7.0	4.58%	48.0	12.49%	18.0
New Jersey	10.03%	36.0	7.79%	39.0	9.02%	46.0
New Mexico	26.25%	2.0	12.80%	16.0	22.66%	2.0
New York	9.92%	39.5	8.59%	36.0	9.17%	44.0
North Carolina	7.59%	46.0	8.66%	34.0	8.03%	49.0
North Dakota	13.69%	18.0	17.43%	2.0	14.99%	7.0
Ohio	10.53%	34.0	11.09%	21.0	10.78%	33.0
Oklahoma	11.65%	25.0	10.34%	25.0	11.18%	28.0
Oregon	14.62%	15.0	11.21%	20.0	13.15%	16.0
Pennsylvania	10.70%	30.0	11.01%	22.0	10.83%	32.0
Rhode Island	18.40%	8.0	4.34%	50.0	13.23%	15.0
South Carolina	10.93%	29.0	10.84%	24.0	10.89%	31.0
South Dakota	23.65%	3.0	7.24%	41.0	16.39%	5.0
Tennessee	13.05%	20.0	9.59%	29.0	11.48%	24.0
Texas	17.67%	11.0	9.19%	31.0	13.24%	14.0
Utah	9.53%	42.0	13.40%	12.0	10.95%	30.0
Vermont	10.64%	32.0	14.47%	7.0	11.24%	27.0
Virginia	12.83%	21.0	8.61%	35.0	11.11%	29.0
Washington	8.30%	45.0	9.09%	32.0	8.63%	47.0
West Virginia	18.26%	9.0	14.22%	8.0	17.26%	4.0
Wisconsin	11.27%	27.0	6.59%	45.0	9.43%	41.0
Wyoming	20.99%	5.0	7.05%	43.0	14.79%	8.0
Mean	13.83%		10.57%		12.66%	
Median	11.63%		10.11%		11.34%	

1

Own-source general receipts encompass collections from taxes, current charges, and miscellaneous funding bases (e.g., property sales, special assessments, interest-bearing deposits, and land use fees). The scope of these revenues, however, does not extend to any amounts generated by intergovernmental transfer payments or by liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds falling within the proprietary control of a given state or locality. [With respect to the percentages covering a specified level of government or both levels combined, the categorical values for a particular state across Tables 3A, 3B, and 3C may yield a grand total that differs slightly from 100% because of statistical rounding.]

2

Regarding the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

Source: For the revenue data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007.

Staff, Commission on Local Government

**Direct General Expenditures Per Capita
by
Outlay Dimension
and
Level of Government
FY 2005**

Tables 4A-4H

Table 4A: Direct General Expenditures Per Capita for Operating and Capital Functions by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Governmental Administration					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3
Alabama	\$100.67	40.0	\$141.47	42.0	\$242.14	47.0
Alaska	\$649.94	1.0	\$239.55	7.0	\$889.49	1.0
Arizona	\$85.09	49.0	\$261.95	4.0	\$347.04	27.0
Arkansas	\$192.05	20.0	\$109.02	49.0	\$301.06	38.0
California	\$214.99	15.0	\$303.74	3.0	\$518.73	4.0
Colorado	\$123.65	34.0	\$257.70	5.0	\$381.35	19.0
Connecticut	\$295.43	5.0	\$138.49	43.0	\$433.92	10.0
Delaware	\$493.67	2.0	\$164.09	32.0	\$657.76	2.0
Florida	\$141.02	29.0	\$231.34	9.0	\$372.36	20.0
Georgia	\$89.65	47.0	\$217.10	13.0	\$306.75	36.0
Hawaii	\$310.22	4.0	\$143.70	40.0	\$453.91	7.0
Idaho	\$202.62	18.0	\$158.71	33.0	\$361.33	23.0
Illinois	\$96.47	43.0	\$234.41	8.0	\$330.89	30.0
Indiana	\$99.90	41.0	\$196.12	20.0	\$296.02	39.0
Iowa	\$152.86	27.0	\$129.60	47.0	\$282.46	42.0
Kansas	\$137.23	31.0	\$186.93	23.0	\$324.16	32.0
Kentucky	\$162.56	26.0	\$75.45	50.0	\$238.00	48.0
Louisiana	\$131.20	32.0	\$205.01	18.0	\$336.21	29.0
Maine	\$208.44	16.0	\$141.74	41.0	\$350.18	26.0
Maryland	\$247.01	9.0	\$173.55	27.0	\$420.56	12.0
Massachusetts	\$220.11	14.0	\$135.61	44.0	\$355.72	24.0
Michigan	\$87.59	48.0	\$217.87	12.0	\$305.46	37.0
Minnesota	\$165.25	25.0	\$227.74	10.0	\$392.99	17.0
Mississippi	\$91.93	46.0	\$170.98	28.0	\$262.91	45.0
Missouri	\$95.51	44.0	\$167.58	30.0	\$263.09	44.0
Montana	\$261.09	8.0	\$155.79	34.0	\$416.89	13.0
Nebraska	\$103.16	39.0	\$179.62	25.0	\$282.78	41.0
Nevada	\$123.68	33.0	\$382.87	1.0	\$506.55	5.0
New Hampshire	\$139.72	30.0	\$167.08	31.0	\$306.80	35.0
New Jersey	\$188.44	21.0	\$178.71	26.0	\$367.15	21.0
New Mexico	\$234.67	11.0	\$189.00	22.0	\$423.67	11.0
New York	\$233.38	12.0	\$206.24	17.0	\$439.61	9.0
North Carolina	\$95.46	45.0	\$135.36	45.0	\$230.82	50.0
North Dakota	\$176.30	22.0	\$154.19	38.0	\$330.49	31.0
Ohio	\$150.48	28.0	\$256.43	6.0	\$406.91	16.0
Oklahoma	\$113.71	36.0	\$154.49	36.0	\$268.20	43.0
Oregon	\$206.19	17.0	\$208.18	14.0	\$414.36	15.0
Pennsylvania	\$166.24	24.0	\$197.33	19.0	\$363.57	22.0
Rhode Island	\$312.75	3.0	\$129.69	46.0	\$442.44	8.0
South Carolina	\$193.02	19.0	\$190.62	21.0	\$383.65	18.0
South Dakota	\$169.77	23.0	\$152.88	39.0	\$322.65	33.0
Tennessee	\$98.01	42.0	\$155.29	35.0	\$253.30	46.0
Texas	\$62.16	50.0	\$169.25	29.0	\$231.41	49.0
Utah	\$286.94	6.0	\$207.83	15.0	\$494.76	6.0
Vermont	\$227.63	13.0	\$127.34	48.0	\$354.97	25.0
Virginia	\$116.22	35.0	\$222.61	11.0	\$338.83	28.0
Washington	\$104.76	37.0	\$207.77	16.0	\$312.53	34.0
West Virginia	\$261.88	7.0	\$154.48	37.0	\$416.36	14.0
Wisconsin	\$104.38	38.0	\$185.33	24.0	\$289.71	40.0
Wyoming	\$235.78	10.0	\$361.29	2.0	\$597.07	3.0
Mean	\$183.22		\$189.18		\$372.40	
Median	\$163.91		\$179.17		\$352.58	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of per capita values, the largest amount is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest amount the highest rank score. In the event of tied per capita values, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the per capita amount covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the per capita amounts displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 population estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2006" (electronic dataset), December 22, 2006.

Table 4B: Direct General Expenditures Per Capita for Operating and Capital Functions by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Education Services					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3
Alabama	\$903.08	8.0	\$1,302.45	47.0	\$2,205.53	35.0
Alaska	\$1,472.21	2.0	\$2,159.96	4.0	\$3,632.17	1.0
Arizona	\$527.89	46.0	\$1,390.98	41.0	\$1,918.87	48.0
Arkansas	\$755.25	23.0	\$1,421.52	36.0	\$2,176.77	37.0
California	\$542.24	44.0	\$1,965.95	6.0	\$2,508.19	14.0
Colorado	\$681.03	32.0	\$1,564.44	29.0	\$2,245.48	31.0
Connecticut	\$668.56	34.0	\$1,982.10	5.0	\$2,650.66	8.0
Delaware	\$1,179.70	3.0	\$1,738.90	16.0	\$2,918.60	5.0
Florida	\$364.44	50.0	\$1,513.00	31.0	\$1,877.44	49.0
Georgia	\$639.41	35.0	\$1,606.70	27.0	\$2,246.11	30.0
Hawaii	\$2,127.58	1.0	\$0.00	50.0	\$2,127.58	40.0
Idaho	\$633.59	37.0	\$1,381.67	42.0	\$2,015.26	43.0
Illinois	\$529.94	45.0	\$1,780.98	11.0	\$2,310.92	28.0
Indiana	\$800.20	15.0	\$1,611.01	25.0	\$2,411.20	21.0
Iowa	\$774.80	20.0	\$1,727.84	17.0	\$2,502.65	15.0
Kansas	\$698.40	28.0	\$1,625.10	24.0	\$2,323.50	26.0
Kentucky	\$798.00	16.0	\$1,215.87	48.0	\$2,013.87	44.0
Louisiana	\$693.50	29.0	\$1,334.45	45.0	\$2,027.95	42.0
Maine	\$637.04	36.0	\$1,567.36	28.0	\$2,204.40	36.0
Maryland	\$677.48	33.0	\$1,792.57	10.0	\$2,470.05	16.0
Massachusetts	\$785.52	18.0	\$1,751.87	14.0	\$2,537.39	11.0
Michigan	\$779.09	19.0	\$1,945.51	8.0	\$2,724.60	7.0
Minnesota	\$749.11	24.0	\$1,674.87	19.0	\$2,423.98	19.0
Mississippi	\$624.51	39.0	\$1,418.11	37.0	\$2,042.61	41.0
Missouri	\$476.28	49.0	\$1,533.50	30.0	\$2,009.79	45.0
Montana	\$766.04	21.0	\$1,396.80	40.0	\$2,162.83	39.0
Nebraska	\$747.94	25.0	\$1,636.76	23.0	\$2,384.70	23.0
Nevada	\$513.95	47.0	\$1,454.89	34.0	\$1,968.84	47.0
New Hampshire	\$588.62	42.0	\$1,664.34	20.0	\$2,252.95	29.0
New Jersey	\$763.79	22.0	\$2,344.36	3.0	\$3,108.15	3.0
New Mexico	\$872.04	9.0	\$1,713.76	18.0	\$2,585.81	9.0
New York	\$477.48	48.0	\$2,362.54	2.0	\$2,840.02	6.0
North Carolina	\$793.38	17.0	\$1,415.07	38.0	\$2,208.46	33.0
North Dakota	\$1,081.71	5.0	\$1,449.67	35.0	\$2,531.38	12.0
Ohio	\$693.25	30.0	\$1,746.22	15.0	\$2,439.47	18.0
Oklahoma	\$811.61	14.0	\$1,356.32	44.0	\$2,167.92	38.0
Oregon	\$627.99	38.0	\$1,607.39	26.0	\$2,235.38	32.0
Pennsylvania	\$698.92	27.0	\$1,758.41	12.0	\$2,457.32	17.0
Rhode Island	\$851.51	10.0	\$1,657.69	22.0	\$2,509.20	13.0
South Carolina	\$845.29	11.0	\$1,502.39	33.0	\$2,347.68	25.0
South Dakota	\$600.36	41.0	\$1,399.94	39.0	\$2,000.30	46.0
Tennessee	\$560.77	43.0	\$1,204.69	49.0	\$1,765.45	50.0
Texas	\$609.99	40.0	\$1,752.33	13.0	\$2,362.31	24.0
Utah	\$997.59	6.0	\$1,314.25	46.0	\$2,311.84	27.0
Vermont	\$1,134.24	4.0	\$1,957.59	7.0	\$3,091.83	4.0
Virginia	\$728.45	26.0	\$1,662.57	21.0	\$2,391.02	22.0
Washington	\$914.65	7.0	\$1,508.19	32.0	\$2,422.83	20.0
West Virginia	\$842.38	12.0	\$1,365.43	43.0	\$2,207.82	34.0
Wisconsin	\$687.07	31.0	\$1,865.07	9.0	\$2,552.14	10.0
Wyoming	\$825.44	13.0	\$2,388.63	1.0	\$3,214.07	2.0
Mean	\$771.07		\$1,609.84		\$2,380.91	
Median	\$738.20		\$1,609.20		\$2,335.59	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of per capita values, the largest amount is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest amount the highest rank score. In the event of tied per capita values, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the per capita amount covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the per capita amounts displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 population estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2006" (electronic dataset), December 22, 2006.

Table 4C: Direct General Expenditures Per Capita for Operating and Capital Functions by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Social Services and Income Maintenance					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3
Alabama	\$1,611.22	16.0	\$658.01	5.0	\$2,269.22	8.0
Alaska	\$2,449.31	1.0	\$233.79	30.0	\$2,683.11	3.0
Arizona	\$1,158.95	40.0	\$271.77	28.0	\$1,430.72	39.0
Arkansas	\$1,539.48	18.0	\$95.73	42.0	\$1,635.21	29.0
California	\$1,056.42	48.0	\$911.11	3.0	\$1,967.53	17.0
Colorado	\$824.55	49.0	\$407.35	18.0	\$1,231.89	49.0
Connecticut	\$1,702.85	12.0	\$65.70	46.0	\$1,768.55	23.0
Delaware	\$1,788.59	9.0	\$27.48	48.0	\$1,816.07	22.0
Florida	\$1,127.17	41.0	\$390.54	20.0	\$1,517.71	35.0
Georgia	\$1,124.40	43.0	\$438.08	17.0	\$1,562.48	34.0
Hawaii	\$1,789.27	8.0	\$53.19	47.0	\$1,842.46	21.0
Idaho	\$1,124.97	42.0	\$470.65	13.0	\$1,595.62	33.0
Illinois	\$1,269.19	30.0	\$195.74	32.0	\$1,464.92	37.0
Indiana	\$1,099.63	45.0	\$518.31	10.0	\$1,617.93	31.0
Iowa	\$1,483.22	22.0	\$461.62	14.0	\$1,944.84	18.0
Kansas	\$1,099.50	46.0	\$299.92	26.0	\$1,399.42	43.0
Kentucky	\$1,583.00	17.0	\$136.89	38.0	\$1,719.89	25.0
Louisiana	\$1,501.40	20.0	\$383.38	21.0	\$1,884.78	20.0
Maine	\$2,187.15	2.0	\$88.74	44.0	\$2,275.89	7.0
Maryland	\$1,340.03	26.0	\$77.51	45.0	\$1,417.54	40.0
Massachusetts	\$1,854.68	7.0	\$154.17	37.0	\$2,008.85	14.0
Michigan	\$1,245.44	33.0	\$456.80	15.0	\$1,702.24	26.0
Minnesota	\$1,769.93	11.0	\$614.93	6.0	\$2,384.86	4.0
Mississippi	\$1,775.66	10.0	\$519.11	9.0	\$2,294.77	6.0
Missouri	\$1,413.50	25.0	\$255.41	29.0	\$1,668.91	27.0
Montana	\$1,162.66	39.0	\$162.66	34.0	\$1,325.32	46.0
Nebraska	\$1,337.54	27.0	\$285.91	27.0	\$1,623.45	30.0
Nevada	\$787.49	50.0	\$396.51	19.0	\$1,184.00	50.0
New Hampshire	\$1,251.01	32.0	\$158.31	35.0	\$1,409.32	41.0
New Jersey	\$1,210.11	36.0	\$173.54	33.0	\$1,383.65	45.0
New Mexico	\$2,075.35	4.0	\$125.61	39.0	\$2,200.96	9.0
New York	\$1,997.46	6.0	\$1,012.10	2.0	\$3,009.56	1.0
North Carolina	\$1,256.26	31.0	\$715.58	4.0	\$1,971.84	15.0
North Dakota	\$1,173.94	38.0	\$113.21	41.0	\$1,287.15	47.0
Ohio	\$1,414.29	24.0	\$557.51	8.0	\$1,971.80	16.0
Oklahoma	\$1,223.87	35.0	\$225.35	31.0	\$1,449.22	38.0
Oregon	\$1,301.88	28.0	\$311.76	25.0	\$1,613.64	32.0
Pennsylvania	\$1,630.15	15.0	\$490.30	12.0	\$2,120.45	12.0
Rhode Island	\$2,162.18	3.0	\$17.10	49.0	\$2,179.28	10.0
South Carolina	\$1,696.84	13.0	\$608.53	7.0	\$2,305.36	5.0
South Dakota	\$1,176.83	37.0	\$92.55	43.0	\$1,269.39	48.0
Tennessee	\$1,695.08	14.0	\$453.40	16.0	\$2,148.48	11.0
Texas	\$1,063.56	47.0	\$339.08	24.0	\$1,402.64	42.0
Utah	\$1,276.10	29.0	\$115.30	40.0	\$1,391.41	44.0
Vermont	\$2,048.53	5.0	\$11.85	50.0	\$2,060.38	13.0
Virginia	\$1,120.50	44.0	\$351.08	23.0	\$1,471.58	36.0
Washington	\$1,524.03	19.0	\$382.89	22.0	\$1,906.91	19.0
West Virginia	\$1,484.26	21.0	\$156.65	36.0	\$1,640.91	28.0
Wisconsin	\$1,233.44	34.0	\$495.83	11.0	\$1,729.27	24.0
Wyoming	\$1,476.61	23.0	\$1,315.61	1.0	\$2,792.22	2.0
Mean	\$1,453.99		\$345.08		\$1,799.07	
Median	\$1,376.77		\$305.84		\$1,711.07	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of per capita values, the largest amount is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest amount the highest rank score. In the event of tied per capita values, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the per capita amount covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the per capita amounts displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 population estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2006" (electronic dataset), December 22, 2006.

Table 4D: Direct General Expenditures Per Capita for Operating and Capital Functions by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Transportation					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3
Alabama	\$247.46	37.0	\$183.23	32.0	\$430.68	42.0
Alaska	\$1,670.49	1.0	\$354.72	7.0	\$2,025.20	1.0
Arizona	\$199.76	47.0	\$273.13	15.0	\$472.88	32.0
Arkansas	\$289.72	26.0	\$144.80	42.0	\$434.52	40.0
California	\$181.75	48.0	\$270.33	16.0	\$452.08	35.0
Colorado	\$216.68	43.0	\$365.47	6.0	\$582.14	18.0
Connecticut	\$250.35	36.0	\$143.62	44.0	\$393.97	47.0
Delaware	\$631.63	3.0	\$163.65	36.0	\$795.28	6.0
Florida	\$307.76	24.0	\$289.88	13.0	\$597.64	16.0
Georgia	\$120.12	50.0	\$183.04	33.0	\$303.17	50.0
Hawaii	\$377.89	10.0	\$150.12	37.0	\$528.02	25.0
Idaho	\$319.26	21.0	\$210.78	25.0	\$530.04	24.0
Illinois	\$224.15	42.0	\$269.94	17.0	\$494.09	28.0
Indiana	\$251.60	35.0	\$149.24	38.0	\$400.84	45.0
Iowa	\$337.99	19.0	\$300.65	12.0	\$638.64	11.0
Kansas	\$385.36	8.0	\$253.92	20.0	\$639.28	10.0
Kentucky	\$306.17	25.0	\$129.01	45.0	\$435.18	39.0
Louisiana	\$288.56	27.0	\$191.22	31.0	\$479.78	31.0
Maine	\$381.77	9.0	\$214.17	24.0	\$595.93	17.0
Maryland	\$337.49	20.0	\$146.38	40.0	\$483.87	30.0
Massachusetts	\$347.69	18.0	\$120.13	46.0	\$467.82	33.0
Michigan	\$149.40	49.0	\$264.90	18.0	\$414.30	44.0
Minnesota	\$238.94	38.0	\$394.46	3.0	\$633.41	12.0
Mississippi	\$280.21	28.0	\$204.01	26.0	\$484.22	29.0
Missouri	\$252.35	34.0	\$244.31	21.0	\$496.66	27.0
Montana	\$553.32	4.0	\$203.95	27.0	\$757.27	7.0
Nebraska	\$354.37	14.0	\$305.61	11.0	\$659.97	8.0
Nevada	\$266.88	32.0	\$588.93	1.0	\$855.81	5.0
New Hampshire	\$272.43	29.0	\$166.24	35.0	\$438.67	38.0
New Jersey	\$230.84	40.0	\$145.75	41.0	\$376.60	48.0
New Mexico	\$352.20	15.0	\$193.94	29.0	\$546.14	21.0
New York	\$210.90	45.0	\$345.98	8.0	\$556.88	19.0
North Carolina	\$366.64	12.0	\$99.24	48.0	\$465.88	34.0
North Dakota	\$518.29	7.0	\$409.97	2.0	\$928.27	3.0
Ohio	\$206.00	46.0	\$226.68	22.0	\$432.69	41.0
Oklahoma	\$225.88	41.0	\$199.54	28.0	\$425.42	43.0
Oregon	\$269.61	30.0	\$280.65	14.0	\$550.26	20.0
Pennsylvania	\$362.20	13.0	\$144.60	43.0	\$506.81	26.0
Rhode Island	\$312.03	23.0	\$87.31	49.0	\$399.34	46.0
South Carolina	\$347.82	17.0	\$104.09	47.0	\$451.91	36.0
South Dakota	\$553.24	5.0	\$311.93	10.0	\$865.17	4.0
Tennessee	\$214.30	44.0	\$147.81	39.0	\$362.10	49.0
Texas	\$316.33	22.0	\$220.42	23.0	\$536.75	23.0
Utah	\$268.59	31.0	\$181.01	34.0	\$449.60	37.0
Vermont	\$368.56	11.0	\$261.43	19.0	\$629.99	13.0
Virginia	\$349.33	16.0	\$193.43	30.0	\$542.75	22.0
Washington	\$262.51	33.0	\$377.96	4.0	\$640.47	9.0
West Virginia	\$535.36	6.0	\$63.67	50.0	\$599.03	15.0
Wisconsin	\$236.47	39.0	\$366.55	5.0	\$603.01	14.0
Wyoming	\$805.71	2.0	\$335.31	9.0	\$1,141.03	2.0
Mean	\$347.09		\$231.54		\$578.63	
Median	\$297.95		\$207.40		\$517.42	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of per capita values, the largest amount is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest amount the highest rank score. In the event of tied per capita values, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the per capita amount covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the per capita amounts displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 population estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2006" (electronic dataset), December 22, 2006.

Table 4E: Direct General Expenditures Per Capita for Operating and Capital Functions by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Public Safety					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3
Alabama	\$134.52	47.0	\$286.09	34.0	\$420.61	43.0
Alaska	\$441.77	2.0	\$381.22	19.0	\$822.99	3.0
Arizona	\$187.58	24.0	\$470.10	5.0	\$657.69	11.0
Arkansas	\$189.49	21.5	\$244.70	44.0	\$434.19	40.0
California	\$265.80	7.0	\$613.61	3.0	\$879.42	2.0
Colorado	\$177.93	28.0	\$418.53	12.0	\$596.47	15.0
Connecticut	\$248.56	8.0	\$319.20	27.0	\$567.75	19.0
Delaware	\$427.17	3.0	\$233.53	46.0	\$660.69	10.0
Florida	\$183.81	26.0	\$538.33	4.0	\$722.14	7.0
Georgia	\$172.47	31.0	\$335.41	23.0	\$507.88	24.0
Hawaii	\$170.24	33.0	\$306.34	30.0	\$476.58	31.0
Idaho	\$197.77	18.0	\$291.17	33.0	\$488.94	28.0
Illinois	\$123.93	48.0	\$439.85	8.0	\$563.78	21.0
Indiana	\$150.75	40.0	\$277.45	37.0	\$428.20	41.0
Iowa	\$114.43	50.0	\$257.20	41.0	\$371.63	47.0
Kansas	\$141.65	42.0	\$310.09	29.0	\$451.73	38.0
Kentucky	\$158.51	38.0	\$243.21	45.0	\$401.71	45.0
Louisiana	\$161.44	37.0	\$404.24	14.0	\$565.69	20.0
Maine	\$176.50	29.0	\$245.68	43.0	\$422.18	42.0
Maryland	\$270.90	6.0	\$419.02	11.0	\$689.92	8.0
Massachusetts	\$189.49	21.5	\$385.51	17.0	\$574.99	18.0
Michigan	\$203.97	15.0	\$359.60	21.0	\$563.57	22.0
Minnesota	\$135.97	46.0	\$341.97	22.0	\$477.95	29.0
Mississippi	\$139.50	43.0	\$257.78	40.0	\$397.28	46.0
Missouri	\$145.13	41.0	\$315.30	28.0	\$460.43	36.0
Montana	\$201.48	17.0	\$265.46	39.0	\$466.94	34.0
Nebraska	\$174.88	30.0	\$279.14	36.0	\$454.02	37.0
Nevada	\$180.78	27.0	\$632.14	1.0	\$812.93	4.0
New Hampshire	\$157.78	39.0	\$319.87	26.0	\$477.65	30.0
New Jersey	\$238.56	10.0	\$440.28	7.0	\$678.84	9.0
New Mexico	\$239.37	9.0	\$381.90	18.0	\$621.27	14.0
New York	\$187.47	25.0	\$620.26	2.0	\$807.73	5.0
North Carolina	\$190.10	20.0	\$285.96	35.0	\$476.06	32.0
North Dakota	\$136.64	45.0	\$203.96	48.0	\$340.60	49.0
Ohio	\$163.49	35.0	\$379.06	20.0	\$542.55	23.0
Oklahoma	\$204.90	14.0	\$266.94	38.0	\$471.84	33.0
Oregon	\$214.51	12.0	\$431.88	10.0	\$646.38	12.0
Pennsylvania	\$203.86	16.0	\$291.74	32.0	\$495.60	27.0
Rhode Island	\$271.65	5.0	\$469.55	6.0	\$741.20	6.0
South Carolina	\$162.71	36.0	\$256.69	42.0	\$419.40	44.0
South Dakota	\$138.67	44.0	\$226.21	47.0	\$364.88	48.0
Tennessee	\$120.39	49.0	\$330.66	24.0	\$451.05	39.0
Texas	\$170.53	32.0	\$326.05	25.0	\$496.58	26.0
Utah	\$209.18	13.0	\$297.11	31.0	\$506.29	25.0
Vermont	\$303.49	4.0	\$163.03	49.0	\$466.52	35.0
Virginia	\$188.66	23.0	\$389.69	16.0	\$578.34	17.0
Washington	\$229.70	11.0	\$410.80	13.0	\$640.50	13.0
West Virginia	\$166.14	34.0	\$162.80	50.0	\$328.95	50.0
Wisconsin	\$190.36	19.0	\$398.25	15.0	\$588.61	16.0
Wyoming	\$446.06	1.0	\$433.41	9.0	\$879.47	1.0
Mean	\$200.01		\$347.16		\$547.17	
Median	\$185.64		\$322.96		\$501.44	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of per capita values, the largest amount is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest amount the highest rank score. In the event of tied per capita values, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the per capita amount covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the per capita amounts displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 population estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2006" (electronic dataset), December 22, 2006.

Table 4F: Direct General Expenditures Per Capita for Operating and Capital Functions by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Environment and Housing					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3
Alabama	\$63.54	46.0	\$355.69	27.0	\$419.24	37.0
Alaska	\$655.71	1.0	\$515.00	7.0	\$1,170.72	1.0
Arizona	\$101.64	29.0	\$382.56	22.0	\$484.20	29.0
Arkansas	\$121.20	25.0	\$242.49	47.0	\$363.69	44.0
California	\$120.53	26.0	\$565.15	2.0	\$685.68	5.0
Colorado	\$80.19	42.0	\$497.10	8.0	\$577.30	15.0
Connecticut	\$132.97	21.0	\$365.13	24.0	\$498.10	28.0
Delaware	\$319.96	3.0	\$339.14	31.0	\$659.10	7.0
Florida	\$99.05	30.0	\$542.78	4.0	\$641.84	10.0
Georgia	\$73.75	43.0	\$342.48	30.0	\$416.22	38.0
Hawaii	\$216.06	8.0	\$481.46	9.0	\$697.52	3.0
Idaho	\$164.69	12.0	\$271.87	43.0	\$436.56	34.0
Illinois	\$58.06	49.0	\$459.87	10.0	\$517.92	24.0
Indiana	\$91.83	36.0	\$350.70	28.0	\$442.53	33.0
Iowa	\$88.76	37.0	\$300.40	36.0	\$389.16	42.0
Kansas	\$94.07	33.0	\$306.03	35.0	\$400.09	41.0
Kentucky	\$161.56	13.0	\$197.81	50.0	\$359.38	45.0
Louisiana	\$148.29	18.0	\$383.98	21.0	\$532.26	19.0
Maine	\$230.04	7.0	\$291.42	38.0	\$521.46	21.0
Maryland	\$134.05	20.0	\$430.82	14.0	\$564.87	17.0
Massachusetts	\$157.94	15.0	\$363.51	25.0	\$521.45	22.0
Michigan	\$81.88	40.0	\$346.07	29.0	\$427.96	35.0
Minnesota	\$131.11	22.0	\$452.99	11.0	\$584.10	14.0
Mississippi	\$92.86	35.0	\$228.15	49.0	\$321.01	49.0
Missouri	\$84.87	39.0	\$279.92	40.0	\$364.78	43.0
Montana	\$278.61	6.0	\$315.29	34.0	\$593.90	13.0
Nebraska	\$114.23	28.0	\$369.26	23.0	\$483.49	30.0
Nevada	\$68.76	45.0	\$532.09	6.0	\$600.85	11.0
New Hampshire	\$139.65	19.0	\$272.26	41.0	\$411.91	39.0
New Jersey	\$126.77	23.0	\$556.81	3.0	\$683.58	6.0
New Mexico	\$167.93	11.0	\$408.23	17.0	\$576.16	16.0
New York	\$71.63	44.0	\$582.77	1.0	\$654.40	9.0
North Carolina	\$95.26	32.0	\$326.24	33.0	\$421.50	36.0
North Dakota	\$295.75	5.0	\$359.46	26.0	\$655.22	8.0
Ohio	\$93.26	34.0	\$419.14	15.0	\$512.39	26.0
Oklahoma	\$87.77	38.0	\$230.93	48.0	\$318.71	50.0
Oregon	\$159.43	14.0	\$435.80	12.0	\$595.23	12.0
Pennsylvania	\$59.56	48.0	\$385.07	20.0	\$444.63	32.0
Rhode Island	\$191.61	10.0	\$327.59	32.0	\$519.20	23.0
South Carolina	\$96.19	31.0	\$247.52	44.0	\$343.71	48.0
South Dakota	\$212.87	9.0	\$298.47	37.0	\$511.34	27.0
Tennessee	\$80.27	41.0	\$271.88	42.0	\$352.14	46.0
Texas	\$55.80	50.0	\$290.63	39.0	\$346.43	47.0
Utah	\$120.45	27.0	\$397.37	19.0	\$517.82	25.0
Vermont	\$317.04	4.0	\$243.74	46.0	\$560.78	18.0
Virginia	\$62.27	47.0	\$413.87	16.0	\$476.15	31.0
Washington	\$149.94	17.0	\$541.06	5.0	\$691.00	4.0
West Virginia	\$157.85	16.0	\$246.05	45.0	\$403.89	40.0
Wisconsin	\$122.60	24.0	\$406.49	18.0	\$529.09	20.0
Wyoming	\$381.60	2.0	\$435.42	13.0	\$817.02	2.0
Mean	\$148.23		\$372.12		\$520.35	
Median	\$120.87		\$361.49		\$515.11	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of per capita values, the largest amount is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest amount the highest rank score. In the event of tied per capita values, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the per capita amount covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the per capita amounts displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 population estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2006" (electronic dataset), December 22, 2006.

Table 4G: Direct General Expenditures Per Capita for Operating and Capital Functions by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Miscellaneous Functions/2					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount	Rank Score/3
Alabama	\$108.20	19.0	\$135.50	37.0	\$243.71	32.0
Alaska	\$1,305.83	1.0	\$286.64	5.0	\$1,592.47	1.0
Arizona	\$53.46	44.0	\$171.42	27.0	\$224.88	37.0
Arkansas	\$65.65	37.0	\$82.11	48.0	\$147.76	49.0
California	\$171.14	15.0	\$227.14	17.0	\$398.27	14.0
Colorado	\$68.84	36.0	\$285.25	6.0	\$354.09	16.0
Connecticut	\$308.34	6.0	\$400.93	4.0	\$709.26	5.0
Delaware	\$344.54	4.0	\$75.76	49.0	\$420.30	12.0
Florida	\$295.36	7.0	\$180.66	23.0	\$476.03	9.0
Georgia	\$72.62	31.0	\$137.48	36.0	\$210.10	39.0
Hawaii	\$626.95	2.0	\$239.09	14.0	\$866.03	2.0
Idaho	\$71.79	32.0	\$106.47	42.0	\$178.25	45.0
Illinois	\$191.74	12.0	\$228.80	16.0	\$420.54	11.0
Indiana	\$86.17	26.0	\$266.23	8.0	\$352.40	17.0
Iowa	\$59.63	41.0	\$244.41	10.0	\$304.03	20.0
Kansas	\$69.13	35.0	\$260.05	9.0	\$329.18	19.0
Kentucky	\$63.51	38.0	\$132.57	38.0	\$196.08	42.0
Louisiana	\$99.57	20.0	\$149.74	30.0	\$249.31	29.0
Maine	\$405.64	3.0	\$206.31	20.0	\$611.96	7.0
Maryland	\$70.92	33.0	\$229.58	15.0	\$300.50	21.0
Massachusetts	\$286.52	8.0	\$447.39	3.0	\$733.92	4.0
Michigan	\$30.64	49.0	\$224.57	18.0	\$255.21	27.0
Minnesota	\$24.28	50.0	\$239.76	13.0	\$264.04	26.0
Mississippi	\$96.22	23.0	\$104.24	44.0	\$200.46	41.0
Missouri	\$47.48	46.0	\$122.35	39.0	\$169.84	47.0
Montana	\$118.79	18.0	\$148.44	31.0	\$267.24	24.0
Nebraska	\$73.14	30.0	\$165.38	29.0	\$238.52	34.0
Nevada	\$34.47	47.0	\$139.39	35.0	\$173.86	46.0
New Hampshire	\$130.02	16.0	\$278.28	7.0	\$408.30	13.0
New Jersey	\$236.78	10.0	\$468.49	2.0	\$705.27	6.0
New Mexico	\$90.00	24.0	\$140.02	34.0	\$230.03	36.0
New York	\$177.54	13.0	\$625.91	1.0	\$803.45	3.0
North Carolina	\$75.13	29.0	\$176.33	25.0	\$251.46	28.0
North Dakota	\$342.69	5.0	\$175.47	26.0	\$518.16	8.0
Ohio	\$62.21	40.0	\$180.29	24.0	\$242.49	33.0
Oklahoma	\$53.03	45.0	\$102.56	45.0	\$155.59	48.0
Oregon	\$80.41	28.0	\$183.79	22.0	\$264.21	25.0
Pennsylvania	\$53.90	43.0	\$192.00	21.0	\$245.90	30.0
Rhode Island	\$128.24	17.0	\$241.78	12.0	\$370.03	15.0
South Carolina	\$85.37	27.0	\$100.40	47.0	\$185.77	44.0
South Dakota	\$98.24	21.0	\$147.58	32.0	\$245.82	31.0
Tennessee	\$55.17	42.0	\$218.20	19.0	\$273.37	23.0
Texas	\$98.07	22.0	\$114.77	40.0	\$212.83	38.0
Utah	\$30.73	48.0	\$102.11	46.0	\$132.84	50.0
Vermont	\$89.19	25.0	\$105.53	43.0	\$194.72	43.0
Virginia	\$63.23	39.0	\$146.29	33.0	\$209.52	40.0
Washington	\$70.05	34.0	\$166.52	28.0	\$236.57	35.0
West Virginia	\$271.44	9.0	\$68.83	50.0	\$340.27	18.0
Wisconsin	\$173.86	14.0	\$111.50	41.0	\$285.37	22.0
Wyoming	\$199.51	11.0	\$241.93	11.0	\$441.45	10.0
Mean	\$158.31		\$198.52		\$356.83	
Median	\$87.68		\$175.90		\$264.13	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of per capita values, the largest amount is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest amount the highest rank score. In the event of tied per capita values, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the per capita amount covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the per capita amounts displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 population estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2006" (electronic dataset), December 22, 2006.

Table 4H: Direct General Expenditures Per Capita for Operating and Capital Functions by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	All Outlay Dimensions					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Per Capita Amount/4	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount/4	Rank Score/3	Per Capita Amount/4	Rank Score/3
Alabama	\$3,168.68	21.0	\$3,062.45	30.0	\$6,231.13	25.0
Alaska	\$8,645.27	1.0	\$4,170.88	5.0	\$12,816.15	1.0
Arizona	\$2,314.37	47.0	\$3,221.92	25.0	\$5,536.29	46.0
Arkansas	\$3,152.85	22.0	\$2,340.36	47.0	\$5,493.21	47.0
California	\$2,552.88	42.0	\$4,857.03	3.0	\$7,409.90	5.0
Colorado	\$2,172.88	49.0	\$3,795.84	10.0	\$5,968.72	35.0
Connecticut	\$3,607.04	12.0	\$3,415.16	18.0	\$7,022.21	12.0
Delaware	\$5,185.26	3.0	\$2,742.55	42.0	\$7,927.80	4.0
Florida	\$2,518.61	43.0	\$3,686.54	12.0	\$6,205.15	26.0
Georgia	\$2,292.42	48.0	\$3,260.29	23.0	\$5,552.71	45.0
Hawaii	\$5,618.21	2.0	\$1,373.90	50.0	\$6,992.11	13.0
Idaho	\$2,714.69	36.0	\$2,891.32	37.0	\$5,606.00	41.0
Illinois	\$2,493.47	45.0	\$3,609.58	13.0	\$6,103.06	28.0
Indiana	\$2,580.07	40.0	\$3,369.05	20.0	\$5,949.12	36.0
Iowa	\$3,011.69	26.0	\$3,421.73	17.0	\$6,433.42	21.0
Kansas	\$2,625.33	39.0	\$3,242.03	24.0	\$5,867.36	38.0
Kentucky	\$3,233.31	17.0	\$2,130.81	49.0	\$5,364.12	49.0
Louisiana	\$3,023.95	25.0	\$3,052.02	31.0	\$6,075.98	30.0
Maine	\$4,226.59	7.0	\$2,755.42	41.0	\$6,982.00	14.0
Maryland	\$3,077.88	24.0	\$3,269.43	22.0	\$6,347.31	23.0
Massachusetts	\$3,841.94	9.0	\$3,358.20	21.0	\$7,200.14	8.0
Michigan	\$2,578.01	41.0	\$3,815.32	9.0	\$6,393.33	22.0
Minnesota	\$3,214.60	18.0	\$3,946.73	7.0	\$7,161.33	10.0
Mississippi	\$3,100.89	23.0	\$2,902.37	36.0	\$6,003.25	33.0
Missouri	\$2,515.11	44.0	\$2,918.37	35.0	\$5,433.49	48.0
Montana	\$3,342.00	15.0	\$2,648.40	43.0	\$5,990.39	34.0
Nebraska	\$2,905.26	29.0	\$3,221.68	26.0	\$6,126.94	27.0
Nevada	\$1,976.00	50.0	\$4,126.83	6.0	\$6,102.83	29.0
New Hampshire	\$2,679.23	37.0	\$3,026.37	32.0	\$5,705.60	40.0
New Jersey	\$2,995.29	27.0	\$4,307.93	4.0	\$7,303.23	7.0
New Mexico	\$4,031.57	8.0	\$3,152.47	29.0	\$7,184.04	9.0
New York	\$3,355.85	14.0	\$5,755.81	1.0	\$9,111.66	3.0
North Carolina	\$2,872.23	30.0	\$3,153.78	28.0	\$6,026.02	31.0
North Dakota	\$3,725.32	10.0	\$2,865.93	39.0	\$6,591.26	17.0
Ohio	\$2,782.98	33.0	\$3,765.32	11.0	\$6,548.30	19.0
Oklahoma	\$2,720.78	35.0	\$2,536.13	46.0	\$5,256.90	50.0
Oregon	\$2,860.02	31.0	\$3,459.44	16.0	\$6,319.46	24.0
Pennsylvania	\$3,174.84	20.0	\$3,459.45	15.0	\$6,634.29	16.0
Rhode Island	\$4,229.97	6.0	\$2,930.72	34.0	\$7,160.69	11.0
South Carolina	\$3,427.25	13.0	\$3,010.23	33.0	\$6,437.48	20.0
South Dakota	\$2,949.98	28.0	\$2,629.56	44.0	\$5,579.54	44.0
Tennessee	\$2,823.98	32.0	\$2,781.91	40.0	\$5,605.89	42.0
Texas	\$2,376.42	46.0	\$3,212.53	27.0	\$5,588.95	43.0
Utah	\$3,189.57	19.0	\$2,614.99	45.0	\$5,804.55	39.0
Vermont	\$4,488.68	4.0	\$2,870.52	38.0	\$7,359.19	6.0
Virginia	\$2,628.65	38.0	\$3,379.54	19.0	\$6,008.18	32.0
Washington	\$3,255.64	16.0	\$3,595.17	14.0	\$6,850.82	15.0
West Virginia	\$3,719.31	11.0	\$2,217.91	48.0	\$5,937.22	37.0
Wisconsin	\$2,748.18	34.0	\$3,829.03	8.0	\$6,577.22	18.0
Wyoming	\$4,370.72	5.0	\$5,511.60	2.0	\$9,882.32	2.0
Mean	\$3,261.91		\$3,293.45		\$6,555.37	
Median	\$3,017.82		\$3,221.80		\$6,218.14	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of per capita values, the largest amount is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest amount the highest rank score. In the event of tied per capita values, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the per capita amount covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the per capita amounts displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 population estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States, Regions, and States and for Puerto Rico: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2006" (electronic dataset), December 22, 2006.

**Direct General Expenditures as a Percentage of Personal Income
by
Outlay Dimension
and
Level of Government
FY 2005**

Tables 5A-5H

Table 5A: Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions as a Percentage of Personal Income by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Governmental Administration					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	0.36%	35.5	0.50%	35.0	0.86%	45.0
Alaska	1.92%	1.0	0.71%	11.5	2.63%	1.0
Arizona	0.30%	46.0	0.92%	3.0	1.22%	17.0
Arkansas	0.74%	9.5	0.42%	44.5	1.17%	18.5
California	0.61%	19.0	0.86%	4.0	1.47%	9.0
Colorado	0.35%	37.0	0.72%	9.0	1.07%	27.0
Connecticut	0.65%	16.0	0.31%	49.0	0.96%	33.5
Delaware	1.40%	2.0	0.46%	39.0	1.86%	3.0
Florida	0.43%	31.0	0.71%	11.5	1.14%	21.0
Georgia	0.30%	46.0	0.73%	8.0	1.04%	29.5
Hawaii	0.95%	5.0	0.44%	41.5	1.39%	11.0
Idaho	0.74%	9.5	0.58%	25.5	1.32%	13.5
Illinois	0.28%	48.5	0.67%	16.5	0.95%	35.5
Indiana	0.33%	39.5	0.65%	18.0	0.98%	32.0
Iowa	0.49%	25.5	0.42%	44.5	0.91%	38.0
Kansas	0.44%	30.0	0.60%	22.0	1.04%	29.5
Kentucky	0.60%	21.0	0.28%	50.0	0.88%	42.0
Louisiana	0.48%	27.0	0.76%	7.0	1.24%	16.0
Maine	0.70%	13.0	0.47%	37.0	1.17%	18.5
Maryland	0.62%	17.0	0.44%	41.5	1.06%	28.0
Massachusetts	0.53%	23.0	0.33%	48.0	0.85%	46.5
Michigan	0.28%	48.5	0.69%	15.0	0.96%	33.5
Minnesota	0.46%	28.0	0.63%	19.0	1.09%	25.5
Mississippi	0.38%	33.5	0.71%	11.5	1.10%	24.0
Missouri	0.32%	43.0	0.56%	28.0	0.87%	44.0
Montana	0.94%	6.0	0.56%	28.0	1.50%	7.0
Nebraska	0.32%	43.0	0.56%	28.0	0.88%	42.0
Nevada	0.36%	35.5	1.13%	1.0	1.49%	8.0
New Hampshire	0.38%	33.5	0.46%	39.0	0.84%	48.0
New Jersey	0.45%	29.0	0.43%	43.0	0.88%	42.0
New Mexico	0.88%	8.0	0.71%	11.5	1.59%	6.0
New York	0.61%	19.0	0.54%	31.5	1.14%	21.0
North Carolina	0.32%	43.0	0.46%	39.0	0.78%	49.0
North Dakota	0.61%	19.0	0.53%	33.0	1.14%	21.0
Ohio	0.49%	25.5	0.83%	5.0	1.32%	13.5
Oklahoma	0.40%	32.0	0.54%	31.5	0.94%	37.0
Oregon	0.66%	15.0	0.67%	16.5	1.34%	12.0
Pennsylvania	0.50%	24.0	0.59%	23.5	1.09%	25.5
Rhode Island	0.92%	7.0	0.38%	47.0	1.30%	15.0
South Carolina	0.71%	12.0	0.70%	14.0	1.42%	10.0
South Dakota	0.54%	22.0	0.49%	36.0	1.03%	31.0
Tennessee	0.33%	39.5	0.52%	34.0	0.85%	46.5
Texas	0.20%	50.0	0.55%	30.0	0.75%	50.0
Utah	1.09%	3.0	0.79%	6.0	1.89%	2.0
Vermont	0.72%	11.0	0.41%	46.0	1.13%	23.0
Virginia	0.33%	39.5	0.62%	20.0	0.95%	35.5
Washington	0.30%	46.0	0.59%	23.5	0.89%	40.0
West Virginia	1.04%	4.0	0.61%	21.0	1.65%	5.0
Wisconsin	0.33%	39.5	0.58%	25.5	0.90%	39.0
Wyoming	0.67%	14.0	1.03%	2.0	1.70%	4.0
Mean	0.58%		0.60%		1.17%	
Median	0.49%		0.58%		1.09%	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the percentage covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the percentages displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 income estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Economic Accounts: State Annual Personal Income, 2002-2006" (electronic dataset), March 27, 2007.

Table 5B: Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions as a Percentage of Personal Income by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Education Services					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	3.22%	9.0	4.65%	37.5	7.87%	17.0
Alaska	4.35%	2.0	6.38%	3.0	10.72%	1.0
Arizona	1.85%	40.0	4.87%	33.0	6.72%	36.0
Arkansas	2.93%	12.0	5.51%	15.0	8.44%	11.0
California	1.53%	45.0	5.56%	13.0	7.09%	34.0
Colorado	1.90%	37.0	4.37%	44.5	6.27%	43.0
Connecticut	1.47%	48.0	4.37%	44.5	5.84%	48.0
Delaware	3.34%	6.0	4.92%	31.0	8.26%	12.0
Florida	1.12%	50.0	4.65%	37.5	5.77%	50.0
Georgia	2.16%	28.0	5.42%	16.0	7.58%	21.0
Hawaii	6.51%	1.0	0.00%	50.0	6.51%	41.0
Idaho	2.31%	25.0	5.04%	26.0	7.35%	31.5
Illinois	1.52%	46.0	5.12%	23.5	6.64%	40.0
Indiana	2.66%	16.0	5.35%	18.0	8.00%	14.0
Iowa	2.51%	20.0	5.59%	12.0	8.10%	13.0
Kansas	2.24%	27.0	5.20%	21.0	7.44%	26.0
Kentucky	2.95%	11.0	4.50%	42.0	7.45%	25.0
Louisiana	2.56%	19.0	4.93%	30.0	7.49%	22.0
Maine	2.13%	30.0	5.25%	20.0	7.38%	29.0
Maryland	1.71%	42.0	4.51%	41.0	6.22%	44.0
Massachusetts	1.89%	38.5	4.21%	48.0	6.09%	46.0
Michigan	2.46%	22.0	6.13%	6.0	8.59%	9.0
Minnesota	2.07%	32.0	4.63%	39.0	6.70%	37.5
Mississippi	2.60%	18.0	5.91%	7.0	8.51%	10.0
Missouri	1.58%	44.0	5.10%	25.0	6.68%	39.0
Montana	2.75%	14.0	5.02%	27.0	7.77%	18.0
Nebraska	2.34%	24.0	5.12%	23.5	7.46%	24.0
Nevada	1.51%	47.0	4.28%	47.0	5.79%	49.0
New Hampshire	1.62%	43.0	4.57%	40.0	6.19%	45.0
New Jersey	1.82%	41.0	5.60%	11.0	7.43%	27.0
New Mexico	3.27%	8.0	6.42%	2.0	9.69%	3.0
New York	1.24%	49.0	6.14%	5.0	7.38%	29.0
North Carolina	2.68%	15.0	4.79%	34.0	7.47%	23.0
North Dakota	3.72%	4.0	4.98%	29.0	8.70%	7.0
Ohio	2.25%	26.0	5.68%	10.0	7.93%	16.0
Oklahoma	2.86%	13.0	4.78%	35.0	7.64%	20.0
Oregon	2.02%	34.0	5.18%	22.0	7.21%	33.0
Pennsylvania	2.09%	31.0	5.26%	19.0	7.35%	31.5
Rhode Island	2.50%	21.0	4.88%	32.0	7.38%	29.0
South Carolina	3.12%	10.0	5.55%	14.0	8.67%	8.0
South Dakota	1.92%	36.0	4.48%	43.0	6.40%	42.0
Tennessee	1.89%	38.5	4.06%	49.0	5.96%	47.0
Texas	1.99%	35.0	5.71%	9.0	7.70%	19.0
Utah	3.81%	3.0	5.01%	28.0	8.82%	5.0
Vermont	3.61%	5.0	6.23%	4.0	9.84%	2.0
Virginia	2.04%	33.0	4.66%	36.0	6.70%	37.5
Washington	2.62%	17.0	4.31%	46.0	6.93%	35.0
West Virginia	3.33%	7.0	5.40%	17.0	8.73%	6.0
Wisconsin	2.14%	29.0	5.81%	8.0	7.95%	15.0
Wyoming	2.36%	23.0	6.82%	1.0	9.18%	4.0
Mean	2.46%		5.06%		7.52%	
Median	2.28%		5.07%		7.45%	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the percentage covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the percentages displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 income estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Economic Accounts: State Annual Personal Income, 2002-2006" (electronic dataset), March 27, 2007.

Table 5C: Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions as a Percentage of Personal Income by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Social Services and Income Maintenance					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	5.75%	11.0	2.35%	5.0	8.09%	4.0
Alaska	7.23%	4.0	0.69%	31.0	7.92%	6.0
Arizona	4.06%	32.0	0.95%	27.0	5.01%	35.0
Arkansas	5.97%	8.0	0.37%	41.5	6.34%	19.0
California	2.99%	47.0	2.58%	3.0	5.56%	23.0
Colorado	2.30%	50.0	1.14%	21.0	3.44%	49.0
Connecticut	3.75%	38.0	0.14%	47.0	3.90%	45.0
Delaware	5.06%	16.0	0.08%	48.0	5.14%	32.0
Florida	3.46%	43.0	1.20%	19.0	4.67%	38.0
Georgia	3.80%	36.0	1.48%	15.0	5.27%	30.0
Hawaii	5.48%	14.0	0.16%	46.0	5.64%	22.0
Idaho	4.11%	31.0	1.72%	9.5	5.82%	21.0
Illinois	3.65%	39.5	0.56%	34.0	4.21%	42.0
Indiana	3.65%	39.5	1.72%	9.5	5.37%	27.0
Iowa	4.80%	20.0	1.49%	14.0	6.30%	20.0
Kansas	3.52%	41.0	0.96%	26.0	4.48%	40.0
Kentucky	5.86%	10.0	0.51%	35.0	6.36%	17.0
Louisiana	5.54%	13.0	1.42%	18.0	6.96%	10.0
Maine	7.32%	3.0	0.30%	43.5	7.62%	8.0
Maryland	3.37%	45.0	0.20%	45.0	3.57%	47.0
Massachusetts	4.45%	23.0	0.37%	41.5	4.82%	36.0
Michigan	3.92%	34.0	1.44%	17.0	5.36%	28.0
Minnesota	4.89%	17.0	1.70%	11.0	6.59%	12.0
Mississippi	7.40%	2.0	2.16%	7.0	9.56%	1.0
Missouri	4.70%	21.0	0.85%	29.0	5.55%	24.0
Montana	4.17%	30.0	0.58%	33.0	4.76%	37.0
Nebraska	4.19%	29.0	0.89%	28.0	5.08%	34.0
Nevada	2.31%	49.0	1.17%	20.0	3.48%	48.0
New Hampshire	3.44%	44.0	0.43%	38.0	3.87%	46.0
New Jersey	2.89%	48.0	0.41%	39.0	3.31%	50.0
New Mexico	7.78%	1.0	0.47%	36.0	8.25%	3.0
New York	5.19%	15.0	2.63%	2.0	7.82%	7.0
North Carolina	4.25%	26.0	2.42%	4.0	6.67%	11.0
North Dakota	4.03%	33.0	0.39%	40.0	4.42%	41.0
Ohio	4.60%	22.0	1.81%	8.0	6.41%	15.5
Oklahoma	4.31%	25.0	0.79%	30.0	5.10%	33.0
Oregon	4.20%	28.0	1.01%	24.0	5.20%	31.0
Pennsylvania	4.88%	18.0	1.47%	16.0	6.35%	18.0
Rhode Island	6.36%	6.0	0.05%	49.0	6.41%	15.5
South Carolina	6.26%	7.0	2.25%	6.0	8.51%	2.0
South Dakota	3.77%	37.0	0.30%	43.5	4.06%	44.0
Tennessee	5.72%	12.0	1.53%	13.0	7.25%	9.0
Texas	3.47%	42.0	1.11%	22.0	4.57%	39.0
Utah	4.87%	19.0	0.44%	37.0	5.31%	29.0
Vermont	6.52%	5.0	0.04%	50.0	6.56%	13.0
Virginia	3.14%	46.0	0.98%	25.0	4.12%	43.0
Washington	4.36%	24.0	1.10%	23.0	5.46%	25.0
West Virginia	5.87%	9.0	0.62%	32.0	6.49%	14.0
Wisconsin	3.84%	35.0	1.54%	12.0	5.39%	26.0
Wyoming	4.22%	27.0	3.76%	1.0	7.97%	5.0
Mean	4.63%		1.09%		5.73%	
Median	4.28%		0.97%		5.43%	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the percentage covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the percentages displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 income estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Economic Accounts: State Annual Personal Income, 2002-2006" (electronic dataset), March 27, 2007.

Table 5D: Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions as a Percentage of Personal Income by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Transportation					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	0.88%	28.0	0.65%	32.0	1.54%	33.0
Alaska	4.93%	1.0	1.05%	6.0	5.98%	1.0
Arizona	0.70%	40.0	0.96%	11.0	1.66%	27.0
Arkansas	1.12%	18.0	0.56%	34.0	1.68%	25.0
California	0.51%	48.0	0.76%	23.0	1.28%	42.0
Colorado	0.61%	44.0	1.02%	7.0	1.63%	29.0
Connecticut	0.55%	46.0	0.32%	47.0	0.87%	50.0
Delaware	1.79%	5.0	0.46%	40.0	2.25%	8.0
Florida	0.95%	26.0	0.89%	15.0	1.84%	18.0
Georgia	0.41%	50.0	0.62%	33.0	1.02%	48.0
Hawaii	1.16%	16.0	0.46%	40.0	1.62%	30.0
Idaho	1.17%	14.0	0.77%	22.0	1.93%	16.0
Illinois	0.64%	43.0	0.78%	21.0	1.42%	38.0
Indiana	0.83%	33.0	0.50%	36.5	1.33%	40.0
Iowa	1.09%	20.0	0.97%	9.0	2.07%	9.5
Kansas	1.23%	12.0	0.81%	19.5	2.05%	11.5
Kentucky	1.13%	17.0	0.48%	38.0	1.61%	31.0
Louisiana	1.07%	22.0	0.71%	29.0	1.77%	20.5
Maine	1.28%	9.5	0.72%	27.5	2.00%	14.5
Maryland	0.85%	30.0	0.37%	44.0	1.22%	43.5
Massachusetts	0.84%	31.5	0.29%	48.0	1.12%	47.0
Michigan	0.47%	49.0	0.83%	17.5	1.31%	41.0
Minnesota	0.66%	42.0	1.09%	4.0	1.75%	22.5
Mississippi	1.17%	14.0	0.85%	16.0	2.02%	13.0
Missouri	0.84%	31.5	0.81%	19.5	1.65%	28.0
Montana	1.99%	4.0	0.73%	25.5	2.72%	5.0
Nebraska	1.11%	19.0	0.96%	11.0	2.07%	9.5
Nevada	0.78%	35.0	1.73%	1.0	2.52%	6.0
New Hampshire	0.75%	36.5	0.46%	40.0	1.21%	45.0
New Jersey	0.55%	46.0	0.35%	45.0	0.90%	49.0
New Mexico	1.32%	8.0	0.73%	25.5	2.05%	11.5
New York	0.55%	46.0	0.90%	13.5	1.45%	37.0
North Carolina	1.24%	11.0	0.34%	46.0	1.58%	32.0
North Dakota	1.78%	6.0	1.41%	2.0	3.19%	3.0
Ohio	0.67%	41.0	0.74%	24.0	1.41%	39.0
Oklahoma	0.80%	34.0	0.70%	30.0	1.50%	36.0
Oregon	0.87%	29.0	0.90%	13.5	1.77%	20.5
Pennsylvania	1.08%	21.0	0.43%	42.0	1.52%	34.5
Rhode Island	0.92%	27.0	0.26%	49.0	1.17%	46.0
South Carolina	1.28%	9.5	0.38%	43.0	1.67%	26.0
South Dakota	1.77%	7.0	1.00%	8.0	2.77%	4.0
Tennessee	0.72%	39.0	0.50%	36.5	1.22%	43.5
Texas	1.03%	23.0	0.72%	27.5	1.75%	22.5
Utah	1.02%	24.0	0.69%	31.0	1.72%	24.0
Vermont	1.17%	14.0	0.83%	17.5	2.00%	14.5
Virginia	0.98%	25.0	0.54%	35.0	1.52%	34.5
Washington	0.75%	36.5	1.08%	5.0	1.83%	19.0
West Virginia	2.12%	3.0	0.25%	50.0	2.37%	7.0
Wisconsin	0.74%	38.0	1.14%	3.0	1.88%	17.0
Wyoming	2.30%	2.0	0.96%	11.0	3.26%	2.0
Mean	1.10%		0.73%		1.83%	
Median	0.97%		0.73%		1.68%	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the percentage covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the percentages displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 income estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Economic Accounts: State Annual Personal Income, 2002-2006" (electronic dataset), March 27, 2007.

Table 5E: Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions as a Percentage of Personal Income by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Public Safety					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	0.48%	40.5	1.02%	28.0	1.50%	34.0
Alaska	1.30%	1.0	1.13%	17.5	2.43%	3.0
Arizona	0.66%	16.0	1.65%	3.5	2.30%	6.0
Arkansas	0.73%	9.0	0.95%	32.5	1.68%	21.5
California	0.75%	8.0	1.73%	2.0	2.49%	2.0
Colorado	0.50%	37.5	1.17%	15.0	1.67%	23.0
Connecticut	0.55%	31.5	0.70%	46.5	1.25%	47.0
Delaware	1.21%	3.0	0.66%	48.0	1.87%	13.0
Florida	0.57%	28.5	1.65%	3.5	2.22%	7.0
Georgia	0.58%	26.5	1.13%	17.5	1.71%	20.0
Hawaii	0.52%	36.0	0.94%	35.5	1.46%	38.0
Idaho	0.72%	11.0	1.06%	23.5	1.78%	16.5
Illinois	0.36%	50.0	1.26%	10.0	1.62%	27.5
Indiana	0.50%	37.5	0.92%	38.0	1.42%	40.5
Iowa	0.37%	49.0	0.83%	43.0	1.20%	48.0
Kansas	0.45%	44.0	0.99%	29.0	1.45%	39.0
Kentucky	0.59%	24.0	0.90%	39.0	1.49%	35.0
Louisiana	0.60%	21.5	1.49%	6.0	2.09%	10.0
Maine	0.59%	24.0	0.82%	44.0	1.41%	42.0
Maryland	0.68%	14.0	1.05%	26.0	1.74%	19.0
Massachusetts	0.46%	43.0	0.93%	37.0	1.38%	43.0
Michigan	0.64%	18.5	1.13%	17.5	1.78%	16.5
Minnesota	0.38%	48.0	0.95%	32.5	1.32%	44.0
Mississippi	0.58%	26.5	1.07%	22.0	1.65%	25.0
Missouri	0.48%	40.5	1.05%	26.0	1.53%	32.0
Montana	0.72%	11.0	0.95%	32.5	1.68%	21.5
Nebraska	0.55%	31.5	0.87%	41.5	1.42%	40.5
Nevada	0.53%	34.0	1.86%	1.0	2.39%	4.0
New Hampshire	0.43%	46.0	0.88%	40.0	1.31%	45.0
New Jersey	0.57%	28.5	1.05%	26.0	1.62%	27.5
New Mexico	0.90%	5.0	1.43%	7.0	2.33%	5.0
New York	0.49%	39.0	1.61%	5.0	2.10%	9.0
North Carolina	0.64%	18.5	0.97%	30.0	1.61%	30.0
North Dakota	0.47%	42.0	0.70%	46.5	1.17%	49.5
Ohio	0.53%	34.0	1.23%	13.0	1.76%	18.0
Oklahoma	0.72%	11.0	0.94%	35.5	1.66%	24.0
Oregon	0.69%	13.0	1.39%	8.0	2.08%	11.0
Pennsylvania	0.61%	20.0	0.87%	41.5	1.48%	36.5
Rhode Island	0.80%	6.5	1.38%	9.0	2.18%	8.0
South Carolina	0.60%	21.5	0.95%	32.5	1.55%	31.0
South Dakota	0.44%	45.0	0.72%	45.0	1.17%	49.5
Tennessee	0.41%	47.0	1.12%	20.0	1.52%	33.0
Texas	0.56%	30.0	1.06%	23.5	1.62%	27.5
Utah	0.80%	6.5	1.13%	17.5	1.93%	12.0
Vermont	0.97%	4.0	0.52%	50.0	1.48%	36.5
Virginia	0.53%	34.0	1.09%	21.0	1.62%	27.5
Washington	0.66%	16.0	1.18%	14.0	1.83%	14.5
West Virginia	0.66%	16.0	0.64%	49.0	1.30%	46.0
Wisconsin	0.59%	24.0	1.24%	11.5	1.83%	14.5
Wyoming	1.27%	2.0	1.24%	11.5	2.51%	1.0
Mean	0.63%		1.08%		1.71%	
Median	0.59%		1.05%		1.64%	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the percentage covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the percentages displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 income estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Economic Accounts: State Annual Personal Income, 2002-2006" (electronic dataset), March 27, 2007.

Table 5F: Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions as a Percentage of Personal Income by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Environment and Housing					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	0.23%	43.0	1.27%	17.5	1.50%	29.0
Alaska	1.94%	1.0	1.52%	6.5	3.46%	1.0
Arizona	0.36%	25.5	1.34%	14.0	1.70%	17.5
Arkansas	0.47%	17.0	0.94%	41.0	1.41%	34.0
California	0.34%	28.5	1.60%	2.0	1.94%	11.0
Colorado	0.22%	44.0	1.39%	12.0	1.61%	24.0
Connecticut	0.29%	37.5	0.80%	47.0	1.10%	50.0
Delaware	0.91%	6.0	0.96%	37.0	1.86%	13.0
Florida	0.30%	34.0	1.67%	1.0	1.97%	9.5
Georgia	0.25%	42.0	1.16%	23.5	1.40%	35.0
Hawaii	0.66%	9.0	1.47%	9.0	2.14%	5.0
Idaho	0.60%	12.5	0.99%	31.0	1.59%	26.0
Illinois	0.17%	49.5	1.32%	16.0	1.49%	30.0
Indiana	0.30%	34.0	1.16%	23.5	1.47%	31.0
Iowa	0.29%	37.5	0.97%	34.5	1.26%	43.0
Kansas	0.30%	34.0	0.98%	32.5	1.28%	41.0
Kentucky	0.60%	12.5	0.73%	50.0	1.33%	39.0
Louisiana	0.55%	15.0	1.42%	10.0	1.97%	9.5
Maine	0.77%	7.0	0.98%	32.5	1.75%	16.0
Maryland	0.34%	28.5	1.08%	30.0	1.42%	33.0
Massachusetts	0.38%	22.0	0.87%	45.0	1.25%	44.0
Michigan	0.26%	41.0	1.09%	29.0	1.35%	36.0
Minnesota	0.36%	25.5	1.25%	19.0	1.62%	23.0
Mississippi	0.39%	20.0	0.95%	39.5	1.34%	37.0
Missouri	0.28%	39.0	0.93%	42.0	1.21%	45.0
Montana	1.00%	5.0	1.13%	27.0	2.13%	6.0
Nebraska	0.36%	25.5	1.16%	23.5	1.51%	28.0
Nevada	0.20%	45.0	1.56%	3.0	1.77%	15.0
New Hampshire	0.38%	22.0	0.75%	49.0	1.13%	47.5
New Jersey	0.30%	34.0	1.33%	15.0	1.63%	22.0
New Mexico	0.63%	10.0	1.53%	5.0	2.16%	4.0
New York	0.19%	46.0	1.51%	8.0	1.70%	17.5
North Carolina	0.32%	30.0	1.10%	28.0	1.43%	32.0
North Dakota	1.02%	3.0	1.24%	20.5	2.25%	3.0
Ohio	0.30%	34.0	1.36%	13.0	1.67%	19.0
Oklahoma	0.31%	31.0	0.81%	46.0	1.12%	49.0
Oregon	0.51%	16.0	1.40%	11.0	1.92%	12.0
Pennsylvania	0.18%	47.5	1.15%	26.0	1.33%	39.0
Rhode Island	0.56%	14.0	0.96%	37.0	1.53%	27.0
South Carolina	0.36%	25.5	0.91%	44.0	1.27%	42.0
South Dakota	0.68%	8.0	0.96%	37.0	1.64%	21.0
Tennessee	0.27%	40.0	0.92%	43.0	1.19%	46.0
Texas	0.18%	47.5	0.95%	39.5	1.13%	47.5
Utah	0.46%	18.0	1.52%	6.5	1.98%	7.5
Vermont	1.01%	4.0	0.78%	48.0	1.78%	14.0
Virginia	0.17%	49.5	1.16%	23.5	1.33%	39.0
Washington	0.43%	19.0	1.55%	4.0	1.98%	7.5
West Virginia	0.62%	11.0	0.97%	34.5	1.60%	25.0
Wisconsin	0.38%	22.0	1.27%	17.5	1.65%	20.0
Wyoming	1.09%	2.0	1.24%	20.5	2.33%	2.0
Mean	0.47%		1.16%		1.63%	
Median	0.36%		1.16%		1.60%	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the percentage covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the percentages displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 income estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Economic Accounts: State Annual Personal Income, 2002-2006" (electronic dataset), March 27, 2007.

Table 5G: Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions as a Percentage of Personal Income by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Miscellaneous Functions/2					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	0.39%	18.0	0.48%	33.5	0.87%	25.0
Alaska	3.85%	1.0	0.85%	6.0	4.70%	1.0
Arizona	0.19%	40.0	0.60%	21.0	0.79%	32.0
Arkansas	0.25%	31.0	0.32%	48.0	0.57%	46.0
California	0.48%	14.0	0.64%	19.0	1.13%	15.0
Colorado	0.19%	40.0	0.80%	8.0	0.99%	19.0
Connecticut	0.68%	9.0	0.88%	4.5	1.56%	8.0
Delaware	0.97%	6.0	0.21%	50.0	1.19%	13.0
Florida	0.91%	7.0	0.56%	27.0	1.46%	9.0
Georgia	0.25%	31.0	0.46%	36.0	0.71%	39.0
Hawaii	1.92%	2.0	0.73%	12.0	2.65%	2.0
Idaho	0.26%	28.5	0.39%	41.5	0.65%	43.0
Illinois	0.55%	12.0	0.66%	17.5	1.21%	12.0
Indiana	0.29%	26.0	0.88%	4.5	1.17%	14.0
Iowa	0.19%	40.0	0.79%	9.0	0.98%	20.0
Kansas	0.22%	35.0	0.83%	7.0	1.05%	18.0
Kentucky	0.24%	33.0	0.49%	32.0	0.73%	37.5
Louisiana	0.37%	20.0	0.55%	28.0	0.92%	22.5
Maine	1.36%	3.0	0.69%	15.5	2.05%	4.0
Maryland	0.18%	43.5	0.58%	25.0	0.76%	34.0
Massachusetts	0.69%	8.0	1.07%	3.0	1.76%	6.0
Michigan	0.10%	48.5	0.71%	13.5	0.80%	30.0
Minnesota	0.07%	50.0	0.66%	17.5	0.73%	37.5
Mississippi	0.40%	17.0	0.43%	37.0	0.84%	29.0
Missouri	0.16%	45.5	0.41%	39.0	0.56%	47.0
Montana	0.43%	16.0	0.53%	29.0	0.96%	21.0
Nebraska	0.23%	34.0	0.52%	30.5	0.75%	35.0
Nevada	0.10%	48.5	0.41%	39.0	0.51%	49.5
New Hampshire	0.36%	21.0	0.76%	10.0	1.12%	16.0
New Jersey	0.57%	10.5	1.12%	2.0	1.68%	7.0
New Mexico	0.34%	22.0	0.52%	30.5	0.86%	26.0
New York	0.46%	15.0	1.63%	1.0	2.09%	3.0
North Carolina	0.25%	31.0	0.60%	21.0	0.85%	27.5
North Dakota	1.18%	4.0	0.60%	21.0	1.78%	5.0
Ohio	0.20%	36.5	0.59%	23.5	0.79%	32.0
Oklahoma	0.19%	40.0	0.36%	45.0	0.55%	48.0
Oregon	0.26%	28.5	0.59%	23.5	0.85%	27.5
Pennsylvania	0.16%	45.5	0.57%	26.0	0.74%	36.0
Rhode Island	0.38%	19.0	0.71%	13.5	1.09%	17.0
South Carolina	0.32%	23.5	0.37%	43.5	0.69%	40.5
South Dakota	0.31%	25.0	0.47%	35.0	0.79%	32.0
Tennessee	0.19%	40.0	0.74%	11.0	0.92%	22.5
Texas	0.32%	23.5	0.37%	43.5	0.69%	40.5
Utah	0.12%	47.0	0.39%	41.5	0.51%	49.5
Vermont	0.28%	27.0	0.34%	47.0	0.62%	44.0
Virginia	0.18%	43.5	0.41%	39.0	0.59%	45.0
Washington	0.20%	36.5	0.48%	33.5	0.68%	42.0
West Virginia	1.07%	5.0	0.27%	49.0	1.34%	10.0
Wisconsin	0.54%	13.0	0.35%	46.0	0.89%	24.0
Wyoming	0.57%	10.5	0.69%	15.5	1.26%	11.0
Mean	0.49%		0.60%		1.09%	
Median	0.30%		0.58%		0.87%	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the percentage covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the percentages displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 income estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Economic Accounts: State Annual Personal Income, 2002-2006" (electronic dataset), March 27, 2007.

Table 5H: Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions as a Percentage of Personal Income by Outlay Dimension and Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	All Outlay Dimensions					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage/4	Rank Score/3	Percentage/4	Rank Score/3	Percentage/4	Rank Score/3
Alabama	11.30%	17.0	10.92%	19.0	22.22%	13.0
Alaska	25.52%	1.0	12.31%	4.0	37.83%	1.0
Arizona	8.10%	38.0	11.28%	12.0	19.38%	32.0
Arkansas	12.22%	13.0	9.07%	39.0	21.30%	17.0
California	7.22%	46.0	13.73%	3.0	20.94%	20.0
Colorado	6.07%	49.0	10.60%	22.0	16.67%	47.0
Connecticut	7.95%	39.0	7.53%	49.0	15.47%	50.0
Delaware	14.67%	5.0	7.76%	48.0	22.43%	11.5
Florida	7.74%	42.5	11.33%	11.0	19.07%	34.0
Georgia	7.74%	42.5	11.00%	18.0	18.74%	37.0
Hawaii	17.20%	2.0	4.21%	50.0	21.40%	16.0
Idaho	9.91%	19.0	10.55%	23.0	20.46%	23.0
Illinois	7.17%	47.0	10.37%	26.0	17.54%	43.0
Indiana	8.56%	33.5	11.18%	14.0	19.74%	30.0
Iowa	9.75%	20.0	11.08%	17.0	20.83%	21.0
Kansas	8.41%	35.0	10.38%	25.0	18.78%	36.0
Kentucky	11.97%	16.0	7.89%	47.0	19.85%	27.5
Louisiana	11.16%	18.0	11.27%	13.0	22.43%	11.5
Maine	14.15%	7.0	9.23%	37.0	23.38%	9.0
Maryland	7.75%	40.5	8.23%	45.0	15.98%	48.0
Massachusetts	9.23%	27.0	8.07%	46.0	17.29%	45.0
Michigan	8.12%	37.0	12.02%	8.0	20.15%	26.0
Minnesota	8.89%	31.0	10.91%	20.0	19.80%	29.0
Mississippi	12.92%	8.0	12.09%	7.0	25.01%	4.0
Missouri	8.36%	36.0	9.70%	33.0	18.06%	40.0
Montana	12.00%	15.0	9.51%	34.0	21.51%	15.0
Nebraska	9.09%	29.0	10.08%	30.0	19.17%	33.0
Nevada	5.81%	50.0	12.13%	6.0	17.93%	41.0
New Hampshire	7.36%	44.5	8.31%	44.0	15.67%	49.0
New Jersey	7.16%	48.0	10.29%	28.5	17.45%	44.0
New Mexico	15.11%	3.0	11.82%	10.0	26.93%	3.0
New York	8.72%	32.0	14.96%	2.0	23.68%	6.0
North Carolina	9.71%	21.0	10.67%	21.0	20.38%	24.0
North Dakota	12.80%	9.0	9.85%	32.0	22.65%	10.0
Ohio	9.05%	30.0	12.24%	5.0	21.29%	18.0
Oklahoma	9.58%	22.0	8.93%	40.0	18.52%	38.0
Oregon	9.22%	28.0	11.15%	15.0	20.37%	25.0
Pennsylvania	9.50%	24.0	10.35%	27.0	19.85%	27.5
Rhode Island	12.44%	12.0	8.62%	42.0	21.06%	19.0
South Carolina	12.65%	10.0	11.11%	16.0	23.77%	5.0
South Dakota	9.44%	25.0	8.42%	43.0	17.86%	42.0
Tennessee	9.53%	23.0	9.39%	36.0	18.91%	35.0
Texas	7.75%	40.5	10.48%	24.0	18.23%	39.0
Utah	12.17%	14.0	9.98%	31.0	22.15%	14.0
Vermont	14.28%	6.0	9.13%	38.0	23.41%	8.0
Virginia	7.36%	44.5	9.47%	35.0	16.83%	46.0
Washington	9.31%	26.0	10.29%	28.5	19.60%	31.0
West Virginia	14.70%	4.0	8.77%	41.0	23.47%	7.0
Wisconsin	8.56%	33.5	11.93%	9.0	20.49%	22.0
Wyoming	12.48%	11.0	15.74%	1.0	28.21%	2.0
Mean	10.36%		10.33%		20.68%	
Median	9.38%		10.38%		20.26%	

1

The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds.

2

The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3

With regard to the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

4

In relation to a particular state, the percentage covering all dimensions may vary slightly from the sum of the categorical values because of statistical rounding.

Sources: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007. Regarding the percentages displayed above, these values have been generated with 2004 income estimates from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "Regional Economic Accounts: State Annual Personal Income, 2002-2006" (electronic dataset), March 27, 2007.

**Percentage Impact of Outlay Dimensions
on
Total Direct General Expenditures
by
Level of Government
FY 2005**

Tables 6A-6G

Table 6A: Percentage Impact of Outlay Dimension on Total Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions by Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Governmental Administration					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	3.18%	48.0	4.62%	41.0	3.89%	49.0
Alaska	7.52%	7.0	5.74%	27.5	6.94%	7.0
Arizona	3.68%	42.0	8.13%	3.0	6.27%	13.0
Arkansas	6.09%	15.0	4.66%	40.0	5.48%	27.5
California	8.42%	3.0	6.25%	15.0	7.00%	5.0
Colorado	5.69%	19.0	6.79%	7.0	6.39%	12.0
Connecticut	8.19%	4.0	4.06%	46.0	6.18%	15.5
Delaware	9.52%	1.0	5.98%	19.0	8.30%	2.5
Florida	5.60%	21.0	6.28%	14.0	6.00%	18.0
Georgia	3.91%	37.0	6.66%	9.0	5.52%	24.5
Hawaii	5.52%	22.0	10.46%	1.0	6.49%	10.0
Idaho	7.46%	8.0	5.49%	34.0	6.45%	11.0
Illinois	3.87%	38.5	6.49%	12.0	5.42%	29.0
Indiana	3.87%	38.5	5.82%	22.0	4.98%	35.0
Iowa	5.08%	29.0	3.79%	48.0	4.39%	46.0
Kansas	5.23%	26.0	5.77%	25.5	5.52%	24.5
Kentucky	5.03%	31.0	3.54%	50.0	4.44%	44.0
Louisiana	4.34%	35.0	6.72%	8.0	5.53%	23.0
Maine	4.93%	32.0	5.14%	38.0	5.02%	33.0
Maryland	8.03%	5.0	5.31%	36.0	6.63%	8.0
Massachusetts	5.73%	18.0	4.04%	47.0	4.94%	36.0
Michigan	3.40%	45.0	5.71%	29.0	4.78%	40.0
Minnesota	5.14%	28.0	5.77%	25.5	5.49%	26.0
Mississippi	2.96%	49.0	5.89%	20.0	4.38%	47.0
Missouri	3.80%	40.5	5.74%	27.5	4.84%	37.0
Montana	7.81%	6.0	5.88%	21.0	6.96%	6.0
Nebraska	3.55%	43.0	5.58%	31.5	4.62%	41.0
Nevada	6.26%	14.0	9.28%	2.0	8.30%	2.5
New Hampshire	5.22%	27.0	5.52%	33.0	5.38%	30.0
New Jersey	6.29%	13.0	4.15%	45.0	5.03%	32.0
New Mexico	5.82%	16.0	6.00%	18.0	5.90%	20.0
New York	6.95%	12.0	3.58%	49.0	4.82%	38.5
North Carolina	3.32%	46.0	4.29%	44.0	3.83%	50.0
North Dakota	4.73%	33.0	5.38%	35.0	5.01%	34.0
Ohio	5.41%	23.0	6.81%	6.0	6.21%	14.0
Oklahoma	4.18%	36.0	6.09%	16.0	5.10%	31.0
Oregon	7.21%	10.0	6.02%	17.0	6.56%	9.0
Pennsylvania	5.24%	25.0	5.70%	30.0	5.48%	27.5
Rhode Island	7.39%	9.0	4.43%	43.0	6.18%	15.5
South Carolina	5.63%	20.0	6.33%	13.0	5.96%	19.0
South Dakota	5.75%	17.0	5.81%	23.0	5.78%	21.0
Tennessee	3.47%	44.0	5.58%	31.5	4.52%	43.0
Texas	2.62%	50.0	5.27%	37.0	4.14%	48.0
Utah	9.00%	2.0	7.95%	4.0	8.52%	1.0
Vermont	5.07%	30.0	4.44%	42.0	4.82%	38.5
Virginia	4.42%	34.0	6.59%	10.0	5.64%	22.0
Washington	3.22%	47.0	5.78%	24.0	4.56%	42.0
West Virginia	7.04%	11.0	6.97%	5.0	7.01%	4.0
Wisconsin	3.80%	40.5	4.84%	39.0	4.40%	45.0
Wyoming	5.39%	24.0	6.56%	11.0	6.04%	17.0
Mean	5.42%		5.79%		5.64%	
Median	5.24%		5.77%		5.51%	

1
The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds. [With respect to the percentages covering a specified level of government or both levels combined, the categorical values for a particular state across Tables 6A through 6G may yield a grand total that differs slightly from 100% because of statistical rounding.]

2
The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3
Regarding the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

Source: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007.

Table 6B: Percentage Impact of Outlay Dimension on Total Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions by Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Education Services					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	28.50%	8.0	42.53%	42.0	35.40%	33.0
Alaska	17.03%	47.0	51.79%	19.0	28.34%	50.0
Arizona	22.81%	29.0	43.17%	41.0	34.66%	38.0
Arkansas	23.95%	24.0	60.74%	4.0	39.63%	10.0
California	21.24%	38.0	40.48%	48.0	33.85%	40.5
Colorado	31.34%	2.0	41.21%	45.0	37.62%	20.0
Connecticut	18.53%	46.0	58.04%	5.0	37.75%	19.0
Delaware	22.75%	30.0	63.40%	2.0	36.81%	26.0
Florida	14.47%	49.0	41.04%	47.0	30.26%	49.0
Georgia	27.89%	10.0	49.28%	29.0	40.45%	7.0
Hawaii	37.87%	1.0	0.00%	50.0	30.43%	48.0
Idaho	23.34%	25.0	47.79%	34.0	35.95%	31.0
Illinois	21.25%	37.0	49.34%	28.0	37.86%	18.0
Indiana	31.01%	4.0	47.82%	33.0	40.53%	6.0
Iowa	25.73%	16.0	50.50%	24.0	38.90%	15.0
Kansas	26.60%	13.0	50.13%	26.0	39.60%	11.0
Kentucky	24.68%	22.0	57.06%	6.0	37.54%	21.0
Louisiana	22.93%	27.0	43.72%	38.0	33.38%	42.0
Maine	15.07%	48.0	56.88%	7.0	31.57%	45.0
Maryland	22.01%	32.5	54.83%	10.0	38.91%	14.0
Massachusetts	20.45%	39.0	52.17%	18.0	35.24%	36.0
Michigan	30.22%	5.0	50.99%	20.0	42.62%	1.0
Minnesota	23.30%	26.0	42.44%	43.0	33.85%	40.5
Mississippi	20.14%	41.0	48.86%	31.0	34.03%	39.0
Missouri	18.94%	44.0	52.55%	17.0	36.99%	25.0
Montana	22.92%	28.0	52.74%	16.0	36.11%	29.0
Nebraska	25.74%	15.0	50.80%	22.0	38.92%	13.0
Nevada	26.01%	14.0	35.25%	49.0	32.26%	44.0
New Hampshire	21.97%	34.0	54.99%	9.0	39.49%	12.0
New Jersey	25.50%	18.0	54.42%	12.0	42.56%	2.0
New Mexico	21.63%	36.0	54.36%	13.0	35.99%	30.0
New York	14.23%	50.0	41.05%	46.0	31.17%	47.0
North Carolina	27.62%	12.0	44.87%	37.0	36.65%	27.0
North Dakota	29.04%	7.0	50.58%	23.0	38.41%	17.0
Ohio	24.91%	21.0	46.38%	36.0	37.25%	22.0
Oklahoma	29.83%	6.0	53.48%	14.0	41.24%	5.0
Oregon	21.96%	35.0	46.46%	35.0	35.37%	34.5
Pennsylvania	22.01%	32.5	50.83%	21.0	37.04%	24.0
Rhode Island	20.13%	42.0	56.56%	8.0	35.04%	37.0
South Carolina	24.66%	23.0	49.91%	27.0	36.47%	28.0
South Dakota	20.35%	40.0	53.24%	15.0	35.85%	32.0
Tennessee	19.86%	43.0	43.30%	40.0	31.49%	46.0
Texas	25.67%	17.0	54.55%	11.0	42.27%	3.0
Utah	31.28%	3.0	50.26%	25.0	39.83%	8.0
Vermont	25.27%	19.0	68.20%	1.0	42.01%	4.0
Virginia	27.71%	11.0	49.20%	30.0	39.80%	9.0
Washington	28.09%	9.0	41.95%	44.0	35.37%	34.5
West Virginia	22.65%	31.0	61.56%	3.0	37.19%	23.0
Wisconsin	25.00%	20.0	48.71%	32.0	38.80%	16.0
Wyoming	18.89%	45.0	43.34%	39.0	32.52%	43.0
Mean	23.90%		49.08%		36.63%	
Median	23.32%		50.20%		36.90%	

1
The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds. [With respect to the percentages covering a specified level of government or both levels combined, the categorical values for a particular state across Tables 6A through 6G may yield a grand total that differs slightly from 100% because of statistical rounding.]

2
The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3
Regarding the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

Source: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007.

Table 6C: Percentage Impact of Outlay Dimension on Total Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions by Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Social Services and Income Maintenance					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	50.85%	11.0	21.49%	3.0	36.42%	3.0
Alaska	28.33%	50.0	5.61%	34.0	20.94%	46.0
Arizona	50.08%	13.0	8.44%	30.0	25.84%	32.0
Arkansas	48.83%	19.0	4.09%	39.0	29.77%	17.0
California	41.38%	38.0	18.76%	5.0	26.55%	28.0
Colorado	37.95%	44.0	10.73%	19.0	20.64%	47.0
Connecticut	47.21%	22.0	1.92%	47.0	25.19%	34.0
Delaware	34.49%	46.0	1.00%	48.0	22.91%	42.0
Florida	44.75%	30.5	10.59%	21.0	24.46%	38.0
Georgia	49.05%	17.0	13.44%	15.0	28.14%	20.0
Hawaii	31.85%	48.0	3.87%	43.0	26.35%	30.0
Idaho	41.44%	37.0	16.28%	9.0	28.46%	18.0
Illinois	50.90%	10.0	5.42%	35.0	24.00%	39.0
Indiana	42.62%	35.0	15.38%	11.0	27.20%	26.0
Iowa	49.25%	16.0	13.49%	14.0	30.23%	15.0
Kansas	41.88%	36.0	9.25%	25.0	23.85%	41.0
Kentucky	48.96%	18.0	6.42%	32.0	32.06%	9.0
Louisiana	49.65%	14.0	12.56%	17.0	31.02%	11.0
Maine	51.75%	6.0	3.22%	45.0	32.60%	8.0
Maryland	43.54%	33.0	2.37%	46.0	22.33%	44.0
Massachusetts	48.27%	21.0	4.59%	37.0	27.90%	22.0
Michigan	48.31%	20.0	11.97%	18.0	26.63%	27.0
Minnesota	55.06%	5.0	15.58%	10.0	33.30%	5.0
Mississippi	57.26%	3.0	17.89%	6.0	38.23%	2.0
Missouri	56.20%	4.0	8.75%	29.0	30.72%	12.0
Montana	34.79%	45.0	6.14%	33.0	22.12%	45.0
Nebraska	46.04%	25.0	8.87%	28.0	26.50%	29.0
Nevada	39.85%	43.0	9.61%	24.0	19.40%	49.0
New Hampshire	46.69%	24.0	5.23%	36.0	24.70%	36.0
New Jersey	40.40%	39.0	4.03%	40.0	18.95%	50.0
New Mexico	51.48%	7.0	3.98%	41.0	30.64%	13.0
New York	59.52%	2.0	17.58%	7.0	33.03%	6.0
North Carolina	43.74%	32.0	22.69%	2.0	32.72%	7.0
North Dakota	31.51%	49.0	3.95%	42.0	19.53%	48.0
Ohio	50.82%	12.0	14.81%	12.0	30.11%	16.0
Oklahoma	44.98%	28.0	8.89%	27.0	27.57%	25.0
Oregon	45.52%	27.0	9.01%	26.0	25.53%	33.0
Pennsylvania	51.35%	8.0	14.17%	13.0	31.96%	10.0
Rhode Island	51.12%	9.0	0.58%	49.0	30.43%	14.0
South Carolina	49.51%	15.0	20.22%	4.0	35.81%	4.0
South Dakota	39.89%	42.0	3.52%	44.0	22.75%	43.0
Tennessee	60.02%	1.0	16.30%	8.0	38.33%	1.0
Texas	44.75%	30.5	10.55%	22.0	25.10%	35.0
Utah	40.01%	40.0	4.41%	38.0	23.97%	40.0
Vermont	45.64%	26.0	0.41%	50.0	28.00%	21.0
Virginia	42.63%	34.0	10.39%	23.0	24.49%	37.0
Washington	46.81%	23.0	10.65%	20.0	27.83%	23.0
West Virginia	39.91%	41.0	7.06%	31.0	27.64%	24.0
Wisconsin	44.88%	29.0	12.95%	16.0	26.29%	31.0
Wyoming	33.78%	47.0	23.87%	1.0	28.25%	19.0
Mean	45.51%		9.86%		27.55%	
Median	45.84%		9.13%		27.39%	

1
The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds. [With respect to the percentages covering a specified level of government or both levels combined, the categorical values for a particular state across Tables 6A through 6G may yield a grand total that differs slightly from 100% because of statistical rounding.]

2
The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3
Regarding the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

Source: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007.

Table 6D: Percentage Impact of Outlay Dimension on Total Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions by Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Transportation					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	7.81%	39.0	5.98%	34.0	6.91%	39.0
Alaska	19.32%	1.0	8.50%	12.0	15.80%	1.0
Arizona	8.63%	33.0	8.48%	13.0	8.54%	23.5
Arkansas	9.19%	27.0	6.19%	28.0	7.91%	29.0
California	7.12%	45.0	5.57%	38.0	6.10%	46.0
Colorado	9.97%	22.0	9.63%	7.0	9.75%	12.0
Connecticut	6.94%	46.0	4.21%	43.0	5.61%	47.0
Delaware	12.18%	14.0	5.97%	35.0	10.03%	10.0
Florida	12.22%	12.0	7.86%	17.0	9.63%	13.0
Georgia	5.24%	50.0	5.61%	37.0	5.46%	49.0
Hawaii	6.73%	47.0	10.93%	4.0	7.55%	37.0
Idaho	11.76%	15.0	7.29%	22.0	9.45%	15.0
Illinois	8.99%	31.0	7.48%	21.0	8.10%	26.0
Indiana	9.75%	23.0	4.43%	42.0	6.74%	40.0
Iowa	11.22%	17.0	8.79%	11.0	9.93%	11.0
Kansas	14.68%	5.0	7.83%	18.0	10.90%	7.0
Kentucky	9.47%	25.0	6.05%	31.0	8.11%	25.0
Louisiana	9.54%	24.0	6.27%	27.0	7.90%	30.0
Maine	9.03%	30.0	7.77%	19.0	8.54%	23.5
Maryland	10.96%	18.0	4.48%	41.0	7.62%	35.0
Massachusetts	9.05%	28.0	3.58%	45.0	6.50%	42.0
Michigan	5.80%	49.0	6.94%	24.0	6.48%	43.0
Minnesota	7.43%	42.0	9.99%	6.0	8.84%	20.0
Mississippi	9.04%	29.0	7.03%	23.0	8.07%	28.0
Missouri	10.03%	21.0	8.37%	14.0	9.14%	18.0
Montana	16.56%	4.0	7.70%	20.0	12.64%	5.0
Nebraska	12.20%	13.0	9.49%	9.0	10.77%	8.0
Nevada	13.51%	8.0	14.27%	2.0	14.02%	4.0
New Hampshire	10.17%	19.0	5.49%	39.0	7.69%	33.0
New Jersey	7.71%	40.0	3.38%	47.0	5.16%	50.0
New Mexico	8.74%	32.0	6.15%	29.0	7.60%	36.0
New York	6.28%	48.0	6.01%	33.0	6.11%	45.0
North Carolina	12.77%	11.0	3.15%	48.0	7.73%	32.0
North Dakota	13.91%	7.0	14.31%	1.0	14.08%	3.0
Ohio	7.40%	43.0	6.02%	32.0	6.61%	41.0
Oklahoma	8.30%	36.0	7.87%	16.0	8.09%	27.0
Oregon	9.43%	26.0	8.11%	15.0	8.71%	21.0
Pennsylvania	11.41%	16.0	4.18%	44.0	7.64%	34.0
Rhode Island	7.38%	44.0	2.98%	49.0	5.58%	48.0
South Carolina	10.15%	20.0	3.46%	46.0	7.02%	38.0
South Dakota	18.75%	2.0	11.86%	3.0	15.51%	2.0
Tennessee	7.59%	41.0	5.31%	40.0	6.46%	44.0
Texas	13.31%	9.0	6.86%	26.0	9.60%	14.0
Utah	8.42%	35.0	6.92%	25.0	7.75%	31.0
Vermont	8.21%	37.0	9.11%	10.0	8.56%	22.0
Virginia	13.29%	10.0	5.72%	36.0	9.03%	19.0
Washington	8.06%	38.0	10.51%	5.0	9.35%	16.0
West Virginia	14.39%	6.0	2.87%	50.0	10.09%	9.0
Wisconsin	8.60%	34.0	9.57%	8.0	9.17%	17.0
Wyoming	18.43%	3.0	6.08%	30.0	11.55%	6.0
Mean	10.34%		7.05%		8.72%	
Median	9.45%		6.89%		8.11%	

1
The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds. [With respect to the percentages covering a specified level of government or both levels combined, the categorical values for a particular state across Tables 6A through 6G may yield a grand total that differs slightly from 100% because of statistical rounding.]

2
The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3
Regarding the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

Source: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007.

Table 6E: Percentage Impact of Outlay Dimension on Total Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions by Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Public Safety					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	4.25%	45.0	9.34%	34.0	6.75%	40.0
Alaska	5.11%	36.0	9.14%	35.0	6.42%	45.0
Arizona	8.11%	7.0	14.59%	5.0	11.88%	2.0
Arkansas	6.01%	27.0	10.46%	23.0	7.90%	31.5
California	10.41%	1.0	12.63%	8.0	11.87%	3.0
Colorado	8.19%	6.0	11.03%	18.0	9.99%	8.0
Connecticut	6.89%	19.0	9.35%	33.0	8.09%	28.0
Delaware	8.24%	5.0	8.52%	43.0	8.33%	26.0
Florida	7.30%	13.0	14.60%	4.0	11.64%	4.0
Georgia	7.52%	11.0	10.29%	25.0	9.15%	14.0
Hawaii	3.03%	50.0	22.30%	1.0	6.82%	39.0
Idaho	7.29%	14.0	10.07%	28.5	8.72%	21.5
Illinois	4.97%	37.0	12.19%	10.0	9.24%	13.0
Indiana	5.84%	31.0	8.24%	45.0	7.20%	38.0
Iowa	3.80%	48.0	7.52%	47.0	5.78%	48.0
Kansas	5.40%	34.0	9.56%	31.0	7.70%	34.0
Kentucky	4.90%	39.0	11.41%	16.0	7.49%	35.0
Louisiana	5.34%	35.0	13.25%	6.0	9.31%	11.0
Maine	4.18%	47.0	8.92%	37.0	6.05%	47.0
Maryland	8.80%	4.0	12.82%	7.0	10.87%	5.0
Massachusetts	4.93%	38.0	11.48%	14.0	7.99%	30.0
Michigan	7.91%	9.0	9.43%	32.0	8.81%	20.0
Minnesota	4.23%	46.0	8.66%	39.5	6.67%	41.0
Mississippi	4.50%	42.0	8.88%	38.0	6.62%	42.0
Missouri	5.77%	32.0	10.80%	19.0	8.47%	24.0
Montana	6.03%	25.0	10.02%	30.0	7.79%	33.0
Nebraska	6.02%	26.0	8.66%	39.5	7.41%	37.0
Nevada	9.15%	3.0	15.32%	3.0	13.32%	1.0
New Hampshire	5.89%	29.0	10.57%	21.0	8.37%	25.0
New Jersey	7.96%	8.0	10.22%	26.0	9.30%	12.0
New Mexico	5.94%	28.0	12.11%	11.0	8.65%	23.0
New York	5.59%	33.0	10.78%	20.0	8.86%	19.0
North Carolina	6.62%	21.0	9.07%	36.0	7.90%	31.5
North Dakota	3.67%	49.0	7.12%	49.0	5.17%	50.0
Ohio	5.87%	30.0	10.07%	28.5	8.29%	27.0
Oklahoma	7.53%	10.0	10.53%	22.0	8.98%	15.0
Oregon	7.50%	12.0	12.48%	9.0	10.23%	7.0
Pennsylvania	6.42%	23.5	8.43%	44.0	7.47%	36.0
Rhode Island	6.42%	23.5	16.02%	2.0	10.35%	6.0
South Carolina	4.75%	40.0	8.53%	42.0	6.52%	44.0
South Dakota	4.70%	41.0	8.60%	41.0	6.54%	43.0
Tennessee	4.26%	44.0	11.89%	12.0	8.05%	29.0
Texas	7.18%	15.5	10.15%	27.0	8.89%	18.0
Utah	6.56%	22.0	11.36%	17.0	8.72%	21.5
Vermont	6.76%	20.0	5.68%	50.0	6.34%	46.0
Virginia	7.18%	15.5	11.53%	13.0	9.63%	9.0
Washington	7.06%	17.0	11.43%	15.0	9.35%	10.0
West Virginia	4.47%	43.0	7.34%	48.0	5.54%	49.0
Wisconsin	6.93%	18.0	10.40%	24.0	8.95%	16.0
Wyoming	10.21%	2.0	7.86%	46.0	8.90%	17.0
Mean	6.27%		10.63%		8.39%	
Median	6.03%		10.26%		8.35%	

1
The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds. [With respect to the percentages covering a specified level of government or both levels combined, the categorical values for a particular state across Tables 6A through 6G may yield a grand total that differs slightly from 100% because of statistical rounding.]

2
The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3
Regarding the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

Source: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007.

Table 6F: Percentage Impact of Outlay Dimension on Total Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions by Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Environment and Housing					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	2.01%	49.0	11.61%	20.0	6.73%	39.0
Alaska	7.58%	4.0	12.35%	15.0	9.13%	12.0
Arizona	4.39%	18.0	11.87%	18.0	8.75%	16.0
Arkansas	3.84%	28.0	10.36%	34.0	6.62%	44.0
California	4.72%	14.0	11.64%	19.0	9.25%	10.0
Colorado	3.69%	30.5	13.10%	6.0	9.67%	7.0
Connecticut	3.69%	30.5	10.69%	29.0	7.09%	35.0
Delaware	6.17%	7.0	12.37%	14.0	8.31%	18.0
Florida	3.93%	25.5	14.72%	4.0	10.34%	1.0
Georgia	3.22%	39.0	10.50%	32.0	7.50%	28.0
Hawaii	3.85%	27.0	35.04%	1.0	9.98%	3.0
Idaho	6.07%	8.0	9.40%	40.0	7.79%	26.0
Illinois	2.33%	47.0	12.74%	10.0	8.49%	17.0
Indiana	3.56%	33.0	10.41%	33.0	7.44%	30.0
Iowa	2.95%	42.0	8.78%	46.0	6.05%	48.0
Kansas	3.58%	32.0	9.44%	39.0	6.82%	37.0
Kentucky	5.00%	12.0	9.28%	41.0	6.70%	41.5
Louisiana	4.90%	13.0	12.58%	12.0	8.76%	15.0
Maine	5.44%	10.0	10.58%	31.0	7.47%	29.0
Maryland	4.36%	19.0	13.18%	5.0	8.90%	14.0
Massachusetts	4.11%	23.0	10.82%	28.0	7.24%	32.0
Michigan	3.18%	40.0	9.07%	43.0	6.69%	43.0
Minnesota	4.08%	24.0	11.48%	21.0	8.16%	20.0
Mississippi	2.99%	41.0	7.86%	50.0	5.35%	49.0
Missouri	3.37%	35.0	9.59%	38.0	6.71%	40.0
Montana	8.34%	2.0	11.90%	17.0	9.91%	5.0
Nebraska	3.93%	25.5	11.46%	22.0	7.89%	24.0
Nevada	3.48%	34.0	12.89%	9.0	9.85%	6.0
New Hampshire	5.21%	11.0	9.00%	45.0	7.22%	33.0
New Jersey	4.23%	21.0	12.93%	8.0	9.36%	9.0
New Mexico	4.17%	22.0	12.95%	7.0	8.02%	22.0
New York	2.13%	48.0	10.12%	36.0	7.18%	34.0
North Carolina	3.32%	37.0	10.34%	35.0	6.99%	36.0
North Dakota	7.94%	3.0	12.54%	13.0	9.94%	4.0
Ohio	3.35%	36.0	11.13%	25.5	7.82%	25.0
Oklahoma	3.23%	38.0	9.11%	42.0	6.06%	47.0
Oregon	5.57%	9.0	12.60%	11.0	9.42%	8.0
Pennsylvania	1.88%	50.0	11.13%	25.5	6.70%	41.5
Rhode Island	4.53%	16.0	11.18%	24.0	7.25%	31.0
South Carolina	2.81%	44.0	8.22%	48.0	5.34%	50.0
South Dakota	7.22%	5.0	11.35%	23.0	9.16%	11.0
Tennessee	2.84%	43.0	9.77%	37.0	6.28%	45.0
Texas	2.35%	46.0	9.05%	44.0	6.20%	46.0
Utah	3.78%	29.0	15.20%	2.0	8.92%	13.0
Vermont	7.06%	6.0	8.49%	47.0	7.62%	27.0
Virginia	2.37%	45.0	12.25%	16.0	7.92%	23.0
Washington	4.61%	15.0	15.05%	3.0	10.09%	2.0
West Virginia	4.24%	20.0	11.09%	27.0	6.80%	38.0
Wisconsin	4.46%	17.0	10.62%	30.0	8.04%	21.0
Wyoming	8.73%	1.0	7.90%	49.0	8.27%	19.0
Mean	4.30%		11.55%		7.88%	
Median	3.93%		11.13%		7.81%	

1
The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds. [With respect to the percentages covering a specified level of government or both levels combined, the categorical values for a particular state across Tables 6A through 6G may yield a grand total that differs slightly from 100% because of statistical rounding.]

2
The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3
Regarding the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

Source: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007.

Table 6G: Percentage Impact of Outlay Dimension on Total Direct General Expenditures for Operating and Capital Functions by Level of Government, FY 2005
A 50 State Profile/1

State	Miscellaneous Functions/2					
	State Level		Local Level		State and Local Levels	
	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3	Percentage	Rank Score/3
Alabama	3.41%	19.0	4.42%	34.0	3.91%	31.0
Alaska	15.10%	1.0	6.87%	15.0	12.43%	1.0
Arizona	2.31%	34.0	5.32%	25.0	4.06%	29.0
Arkansas	2.08%	39.0	3.51%	45.0	2.69%	48.0
California	6.70%	11.0	4.68%	31.0	5.37%	16.0
Colorado	3.17%	23.5	7.51%	11.0	5.93%	12.0
Connecticut	8.55%	6.0	11.74%	3.0	10.10%	4.0
Delaware	6.64%	12.0	2.76%	50.0	5.30%	17.0
Florida	11.73%	2.0	4.90%	29.0	7.67%	9.0
Georgia	3.17%	23.5	4.22%	37.0	3.78%	34.0
Hawaii	11.16%	3.0	17.40%	1.0	12.39%	2.0
Idaho	2.64%	28.0	3.68%	41.5	3.18%	43.0
Illinois	7.69%	8.0	6.34%	16.0	6.89%	11.0
Indiana	3.34%	20.0	7.90%	9.0	5.92%	13.0
Iowa	1.98%	41.0	7.14%	13.0	4.73%	20.5
Kansas	2.63%	29.0	8.02%	8.0	5.61%	15.0
Kentucky	1.96%	42.0	6.22%	17.0	3.66%	38.0
Louisiana	3.29%	22.0	4.91%	28.0	4.10%	28.0
Maine	9.60%	4.0	7.49%	12.0	8.76%	7.0
Maryland	2.30%	35.0	7.02%	14.0	4.73%	20.5
Massachusetts	7.46%	9.0	13.32%	2.0	10.19%	3.0
Michigan	1.19%	48.0	5.89%	20.0	3.99%	30.0
Minnesota	0.76%	50.0	6.07%	19.0	3.69%	37.0
Mississippi	3.10%	25.0	3.59%	43.0	3.34%	41.0
Missouri	1.89%	45.0	4.19%	38.0	3.13%	44.0
Montana	3.55%	18.0	5.61%	21.5	4.46%	23.0
Nebraska	2.52%	31.0	5.13%	27.0	3.89%	32.0
Nevada	1.74%	46.0	3.38%	46.0	2.85%	47.0
New Hampshire	4.85%	15.0	9.20%	6.0	7.16%	10.0
New Jersey	7.91%	7.0	10.88%	4.0	9.66%	5.0
New Mexico	2.23%	37.0	4.44%	33.0	3.20%	42.0
New York	5.29%	14.0	10.87%	5.0	8.82%	6.0
North Carolina	2.62%	30.0	5.59%	23.0	4.17%	27.0
North Dakota	9.20%	5.0	6.12%	18.0	7.86%	8.0
Ohio	2.24%	36.0	4.79%	30.0	3.70%	36.0
Oklahoma	1.95%	43.5	4.04%	39.0	2.96%	45.0
Oregon	2.81%	27.0	5.31%	26.0	4.18%	26.0
Pennsylvania	1.70%	47.0	5.55%	24.0	3.71%	35.0
Rhode Island	3.03%	26.0	8.25%	7.0	5.17%	18.0
South Carolina	2.49%	32.0	3.34%	47.0	2.89%	46.0
South Dakota	3.33%	21.0	5.61%	21.5	4.41%	24.0
Tennessee	1.95%	43.5	7.84%	10.0	4.88%	19.0
Texas	4.13%	17.0	3.57%	44.0	3.81%	33.0
Utah	0.96%	49.0	3.90%	40.0	2.29%	50.0
Vermont	1.99%	40.0	3.68%	41.5	2.65%	49.0
Virginia	2.41%	33.0	4.33%	36.0	3.49%	39.0
Washington	2.15%	38.0	4.63%	32.0	3.45%	40.0
West Virginia	7.30%	10.0	3.10%	48.0	5.73%	14.0
Wisconsin	6.33%	13.0	2.91%	49.0	4.34%	25.0
Wyoming	4.56%	16.0	4.39%	35.0	4.47%	22.0
Mean	4.26%		6.03%		5.20%	
Median	3.07%		5.32%		4.26%	

1
The state/local expenditure base reflects all operating and capital outlays except (a) intergovernmental transfer payments and (b) direct disbursements relative to publicly controlled liquor stores, utilities, and insurance trust funds. [With respect to the percentages covering a specified level of government or both levels combined, the categorical values for a particular state across Tables 6A through 6G may yield a grand total that differs slightly from 100% because of statistical rounding.]

2
The miscellaneous dimension captures "unallocable" outlays pertaining, for example, to civil defense programs, crime-victim assistance activities, election oversight functions, economic development projects, and job training and placement services.

3
Regarding the state-by-state series of percentages, the largest value is accorded the lowest rank score and the smallest value the highest rank score. In the event of tied percentages, the affected states are assigned the average magnitude of their shared rank positions.

Source: For the expenditure data underlying this exhibit, see U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau, "State and Local Government Finances by Level of Government and by State: 2004-05" (electronic tables), May 14, 2007.