

April 2, 2010

## DHCD, DBFR 2009 Code Change Process

### March 25, 2010 Workgroup Meeting Summary

#### Workgroup 1 -Administrative and Selected Technical Issues

##### USBC: VCC, VRC and VMC:

###### Code Change No.

C-101.2 (a)	Consensus to disapprove. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
C-101.2 (b)	Substitute code change will be submitted for the 4/8/10 workgroup meeting.
C-102.3(1)	This change has already been tentatively approved by the Codes and Standards Committee. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
C-102.3(2)	This change has already been tentatively approved by the Codes and Standards Committee. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
C-102.3(7)	Amendment may be submitted to include reference to the federal law. <b>This will be on the 4/29/10 workgroup meeting agenda.</b>
C-103.5 (a) & (b)	Non-consensus. Attendees had supportive and opposing comments to both code changes. <b>Proponent to consider feedback for possible amendments.</b> Some were concerned about determining the 50% line. An example was given on replacement of stairs and having the replacement stairs comply with new stair requirements. <b>This will be on the 4/29/10 workgroup meeting agenda.</b>
C-103.10(3)	This change has already been tentatively approved by the Codes and Standards Committee. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
C-103.10(6)	This change has already been tentatively approved by the Codes and Standards Committee. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
C-108.2(2)	Consensus to approve as amended to leave F1 and H in. <b>Ron Clements to submit revised change by 4/15/10.</b> Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
C-108.2(10)(a) & (b)	Consensus to approve CO A and disapprove B. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
C-109.3.1 (a) & (b)	Non-consensus for both. Proponent would withdraw B if A is disapproved. There was discussion on amending construction documents as an alternative and excluding R-5. <b>Staff to send out new amendment proposal. This will be on the 4/29/10 workgroup meeting agenda.</b>
C-116.4	Consensus to amend by striking "verified by inspection" and substituting with the word "determines". <b>John Catlett to submit revised change by 4/15/10.</b> Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
C-118.1	Consensus to disapprove. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
C-118.6	Consensus to disapprove. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
C-119.9	Consensus to disapprove due to the need for a statutory change. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
C-202	Non-consensus. Attendees noted that not all buildings have occupancy permits. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
R-705.3.1.1(4)	Withdrawn.
R-705.3.1.1(7)	Withdrawn.
M-101.2	Withdrawn.
M-105.1	This change has already been tentatively approved by the Codes and Standards Committee. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

Code Change No.

- M-105.1.1 Non-consensus. Attendees saw no need for change to the IPMC and cited #9. It was felt this was still very subjective contrary to proponent's purpose of offering better specificity. In #4 and #6, "sickness and disease" is outside the scope of the USBC. Attendees felt #10 should be deleted. It was noted that #7 is not an unsafe condition in most cases. **This will be on the 4/29/10 workgroup meeting agenda.**
- M-105.4.1 This change has already been tentatively approved by the Codes and Standards Committee. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

**SFPC:**

Code Change No.

- F-106.3 Non-consensus. Attendee comments included problem being solved; the change seems to include language regulated by the USBC with "any building system" and most could be handled by unsafe sections. Section 110.2 was noted as being overly broad. **This will be on the 4/29/10 workgroup meeting agenda.**
- F-106.6 Non-consensus. Comments included it being very broad, it would allow enforcing standards not part of the code and problems trying to fix. OAG advises cannot allow fire official to use national standards not referenced in the SFPC and outside the scope of the SFPC. **Will be on DHCD workgroup April 29<sup>th</sup> agenda.**
- F-107.6 This change has already been tentatively approved by the Codes and Standards Committee. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
- F-107.12. Non-consensus. Comments: would disallow the option of charging separate appeals fees, which is done by several localities now. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
- F-107.13 Consensus to approve. Comments: State Fire Marshal has been requested to provide the BHCD with a fiscal impact statement to include present revenue, new revenue and staff resources devoted to the program as well as the impact of the new fee based on the number of permits issued. DHCD staff needs this by 4/19/10. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
- F-107.14 Non-consensus. Comments: the code change need amendments to exclude "R-5 family day homes; review SRCF as it covers many more licensed facilities; and, ALF's voiced concerns about a new fee at this time. **State Fire Marshal has been requested to provide the BHCD with a fiscal impact statement to include present revenue, new revenue and staff resources devoted to the program as well as the impact of the new fee based on the number of inspections. DHCD staff needs this by 4/19/10. This will be on the 4/29/10 workgroup meeting agenda.**
- F-112.2 This change has already been tentatively approved by the Codes and Standards Committee. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
- F-304.1.2 Consensus to disapprove. **Proponent may submit substitute.** Comments: builder, owners, VSAIA, and building officials voiced strong reservations. **This may be on the 4/29/10 workgroup meeting agenda if substitute change is received. Otherwise, it will be on the Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.**
- F-311.5 (a) & (b) Consensus to approve A. B was withdrawn. DHCD comment is that FSBCC might want to convey that this placarding isn't about light weight frame construction unless there are unsafe conditions. Adds unduly dangerous that further clarifies. Could clarify more by saying "lightweight framed constructed buildings built and maintained in accordance with the USBC shall not be considered unduly dangerous." Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

Code Change No.

- F-315.1 Consensus to approve. DHCD staff comment: there was discussion as to the context of what is deemed to be stable and the ramifications of storing all products in a stable manner. Also, the Section 315.2 language needs to be in table 107.2 for consistency with the format of the SFPC administrative chapter and that Chapter 1 supersedes all such provisions in the IFC and in the IFC technical chapters. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
- F-408.5.5 **This fire drill item has been moved to the 4/29/10 workgroup meeting with the ALF code changes.**
- F-506.1 Fire officials noted that this was not retroactive. DHCD staff comment: language needs to be added clarifying that it will not be applied retroactively. **Will be on April 29<sup>th</sup> agenda.**
- F-506.3 Consensus to approve **if the exception is reworded to deal with the language: “practical difficulties” and clarifying that it will not be applied retroactively. Will be the April 29<sup>th</sup> agenda.**
- F-605.10.1 Consensus to approve. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
- F-609.3.3.2 Consensus to approve. DHCD comment deals with implementation issues. The approval of the schedule should be stated (such as 30 days). Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
- F-907.9.5 Consensus to approve. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.
- F-2205.4 Consensus to approve. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

Code Change No.

- F-2209.2.1 Non-consensus. DHCD staff comment: that this hydrogen code change references construction requirements that would need to be correlated in the USBC/IBC/IFC/IMC and IRC. The correct format is to reference through the IBC to the IFC/ This is a code change based on the 2012 ICC codes. **Proponent needs to contact Vernon Hodge on correlation and format issues and may want to consult with Guy Tomberlin. This will be on the 4/29/10 workgroup meeting agenda.**
- F-3301.2.2 Legal council noted that insertion of permissive fireworks conflicts with §27-96.1 that allows sales and use on private property. On public property can be controlled and where local fire ordinances prohibits. Being in the IFC doesn't mean it can be done in the SFPC. **This will be on the 4/29/10 workgroup meeting agenda.**
- F-3301.2.3.1 Legal counsel advises in regards to the legislation that need in 3308 to include language in the law, need to add volunteer language and need to delete “supervised” in the permissible firework exceptions. SFMO and DHCD to meet and fix code change to comport with the new law. Comments from industry: Raised issue on whether reciprocity, saving companies and employees the cost to paid every state. Noted have to get ATF BCC checks and why couldn't other state tests be accepted. Raised issue that law says any one doing the tasks had to be certified. Glenn Dean explained that ATF BCC wasn't as complete as this one; that the intent of the legislation was only 1 certificate holder had to be at the display and it would be difficult to assess other state's exams. Ed Altizer supports a national exam but one is not now in existence. **Need from the SFMO fiscal data on the program on numbers expected to be certified, cost to be certified with estimate on the exam cost and ongoing costs. This would be on the 4/29/10 workgroup meeting.**
- F-3308.4 **Non-consensus. The industry opposes extending the separation distance. Discussed the incident with mortars. Shouldn't base statewide change on a few incidents. Local can increase distances. Will be on April 29<sup>th</sup> agenda.**
- F-3809.15 Consensus to approve. On the CSC agenda for 5/10/10.
- F-4601 This change has already been tentatively approved by the Codes and Standards Committee. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

**IBSR:**

Code Change No.

I-260

Consensus to approve. DHCD to provide more detailed fiscal impact on current revenue, new revenue and staff resources. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

**VADR:**

Code Change No.

A-20

Result from meeting

Non-consensus. Building officials want private events covered either by type of equipment or event size. This would require legislation to allow the BHCD to promulgate regulations for private events. Most agreed that attempt wasn't to cover backyard parties unless the equipment exceeded some limits and that fees need to be increased. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

A-40

Consensus to approve. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

A-50

Consensus to disapprove. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

A-75 A

Withdrawn.

A-75 C

Consensus to disapprove. DHCD will provide a sample permit form. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

A-80

Consensus to disapprove. Comments: this would allow for rides that are too large to be considered minor. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

A-85

Consensus to approve. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

A-280

Consensus to approve. Will be on Codes and Standards Committee Agenda for 5/10/10.

Additional Staff Comments:

- 13 and maybe 14 items will be reviewed again on the DHCD workgroup meeting agenda of April 29<sup>th</sup>.
- Attendees and those copied need to review these notes, assignments and the code changes for April 29<sup>th</sup> and all those to be on the May 10<sup>th</sup> agenda for the Codes and Standards Committee.